

Paper: “Análisis del Clima Laboral en el Instituto Superior Tecnológico Juan Bautista Aguirre en Tiempos de Pandemia”

Submitted: 21 October 2021

Accepted: 07 January 2022

Published: 31 January 2022

Corresponding Author: Marcel Méndez Mantuano

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2022.v18n1p54](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2022.v18n1p54)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Oruam Cadex Marichal Guevara
University Maximo Gomes Baez, Cuba

Reviewer 2: Rosa María Serrano Pastor
University of Zaragoza, Spain

Reviewer 3: Blinded

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Oruam Cadex Marichal Guevara	
University/Country: Universidad de Ciego de Ávila Máximo Gómez Báez	
Date Manuscript Received: November 25, 2021	Date Review Report Submitted: November 26, 2021
Manuscript Title: ANÁLISIS DEL CLIMA LABORAL EN EL INSTITUTO SUPERIOR TECNOLÓGICO JUAN BAUTISTA AGUIRRE EN TIEMPOS DE PANDEMIA	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 14.11.2021	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

	<i>Rating Result</i>
<i>Questions</i>	[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
The title of the article is clear and appropriate to the content	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	5
The summary clearly presents objects, methods and results.	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	5
There are no grammatical and spelling errors in this article.	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5
The study methods are clearly explained.	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	5
There are no errors and the results are clear	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
conclusions are accurate and supported by content	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
The references and citations by APA 7th edition are correct	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	X
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received: 25/11/2021	Date Review Report Submitted: 05/12/2021
Manuscript Title: ANÁLISIS DEL CLIMA LABORAL EN EL INSTITUTO SUPERIOR TECNOLÓGICO JUAN BAUTISTA AGUIRRE EN TIEMPOS DE PANDEMIA	
ESJ Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	3
<i>Falta una presentación de los resultados y conclusiones</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3
<i>Hay varios errores de redacción que han sido señalados en el document. Igualmente se solicita revisar la redacción para presentar frases más simples y cortas.</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
<i>Es necesario hacer referencia a autores relevantes</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	3
<i>Es necesario que se haga referencia mayor a estudios anteriores comparando resultados así como se relacionen y triangulen los resultados de las encuestas y de la entrevista</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	2
<i>Se necesita volver a redactar las conclusiones de manera que sean realmente conclusiones al trabajo, no repetición de algunos datos de los resultados</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	3
<i>Falta revisar formato APA7</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Indicadas en el documento del artículo

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: