

**Paper: “Análisis bibliométrico sobre energía solar fotovoltaica aplicada en el sector agrícola como alternativa socioambiental”**

**Submitted: 29 December 2021**

**Accepted: 26 January 2022**

**Published: 31 January 2022**

Corresponding Author: Eveligh Prado-Carpio

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2022.v18n1p77](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2022.v18n1p77)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Blinded

Reviewer 2: Carla Sofía Arguello Guadalupe  
Universidad Nacional de Chimborazo, Ecuador

Reviewer 3: Román Jiménez Vera  
Universidad Juárez Autónoma de Tabasco, México

# ***ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021***

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

***ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!***

Date Manuscript Received: 03-01-2022	Date Review Report Submitted: 04-01-2022
Manuscript Title: Análisis bibliométrico sobre energía solar fotovoltaica aplicada en el sector agrícola como alternativa socioambiental	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0130/22	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/No <b>NO</b>	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No <b>Yes</b>	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No <b>Yes</b>	

## **Evaluation Criteria:**

**Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.**

<i>Questions</i>	<b><i>Rating Result</i></b> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	<b>1</b>
<i>The content of the article does not reflect the proposed title, it is a bibliographic review that concludes in a list of articles published on the subject</i>	

<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>The content of the article does not reflect the proposed title, it is a bibliographic review that concludes in a list of articles published on the subject</i>	
<b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>Yes, there are few grammatical errors</i>	
<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	<b>3</b>
If explained but not evidenced in the results	
<b>5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.</b>	<b>2</b>
<i>The results are not in accordance with the topic, the topic must be rethought or the result should be presented according to what is stated in the summary</i>	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>The conclusions are based on the content of the results but not on the topic or summary</i>	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>Must be reviewed</i>	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

The topic developed is very interesting but if it is a literature review, the results of each article could be better summarized, it is not enough to summarize the topics.

The issue raised generates a different expectation that is not reflected in the results

The topic must be completely changed or the results must show the silver in the topic

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**

# ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

*ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!*

Reviewer Name: Román Jiménez Vera	
University/Country: Universidad Juárez Autónoma de Tabasco / México.	
Date Manuscript Received: 03/01/2022	Date Review Report Submitted: 16/01/2022
Manuscript Title: Análisis bibliométrico sobre energía solar fotovoltaica aplicada en el sector agrícola como alternativa socioambiental	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 30.01.2022	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: <u>Yes/No</u>	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: <u>Yes/No</u>	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: <u>Yes/No</u>	

## Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	<b>5</b>

<i>El título es adecuado para el contenido del documento / The title is suitable for the content of the document</i>	
<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>El resumen es adecuado: describe el objetivo, el método y los resultados / The abstract is adequate: it describes the objective, the method and the results</i>	
<b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>Se recomienda revisar el documento ya que se encontraron errores en la redacción y en la estructura. It is recommended to review the document as errors were found in the writing and in the structure.</i>	
<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>La metodología es adecuada. The methodology is adequate.</i>	
<b>5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.</b>	<b>2</b>
<i>Los resultados se presentan de forma adecuada. Sin embargo, se recomienda ampliar la información sobre el contenido de los artículos. Se puede extraer una mayor información y realizar su análisis / The results are presented appropriately. However, it is recommended to expand the information on the content of the articles. You can extract more information and perform your analysis.</i>	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>Se recomienda mejorar el párrafo de las conclusiones. It is recommended to improve the paragraph of the conclusions.</i>	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>Las referencias son adecuadas. References are adequate.</i>	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

*Se recomienda realizar las correcciones ortográficas sugeridas, aunque son pequeñas, mejorarán la versión final del documento. Sin embargo, la recomendación principal es analizar más a fondo el contenido de los artículos, ya que la información presentada en el análisis es muy pequeña / It is recommended to make the suggested spelling corrections, although they are minor, they will improve the final version of the document. However, the main recommendation is to further analyze the content of the articles, since the information presented in the analysis is very small.*

### **Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**

*Es un documento donde se analiza un tema de actualidad y de importancia para el desarrollo sustentable. Sin embargo, el análisis realizado es muy pobre. Se recomienda extraer y analizar mayor información de los artículos seleccionados. Su publicación apoyará el desarrollo sustentable / It is a document that analyzes a current and important issue for sustainable development. However, the analysis carried out is very poor. It is recommended to extract and analyze more information from the selected articles. Your publication will support sustainable development.*