

Manuscript: “**Caracterización Físico-Química Y Organoléptica De Un Licor A Base De Piñuela**”

**Submitted: 12 June 2021**

**Accepted: 20 August 2021**

**Published: 31 January 2022**

Corresponding Author: Arianna del Angel Pacheco

10.19044/esj.2022.v18n3p255

Peer review:

**Reviewer 1:** Víctor Hugo Godoy Espinoza, México

**Reviewer 2:** Hugo Villarino, Instituto Campechano, Campeche, México

# ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.  
***ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!***

Date Manuscript Received: 2021/07/03	Date Review Report Submitted: 2021/07/05
Manuscript Title: CARACTERIZACIÓN FÍSICO-QUÍMICA Y ORGANOLÉPTICA DE UN LICOR A BASE DE PIÑUELA	
ESJ Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No	

## Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> <i>Considero que Si ya que tiene causa y efecto el título.</i>	
<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>	
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

<i>Si cumple con estos parámetros</i>	
<b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> <i>No hay errores gramaticales</i>	
<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> <i>Si están claros</i>	
<b>5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.</b>	
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> <i>Están claros sin errores</i>	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> <i>Son precisos y están respaldados por el contenido</i>	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> <i>Están completas y apropiadas</i>	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<b>X</b>
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

Muy Buena la caracterización de está baya se puede profundizar con nuevas investigaciones

***ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021***

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

***ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!***

Reviewer Name: Hugo Villarino	Email:
University/Country: Instituto Campechano, Campeche, México	
Date Manuscript Received: july 2 <sup>nd</sup> , '21	Date Review Report Submitted: july 21 <sup>st</sup> , '21
Manuscript Title: Caracterización físico-química y organoléptica de un licor a base de piñuela	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0666/21	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: <u>Yes</u> /No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: <u>Yes</u> /No	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: <u>Yes</u> /No	

## Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>Yes, the title is completely appropriate to the content and topic that is addressed in the article</i>	
<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>Indeed, the summary clearly presents objects, methods and results</i>	

<b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>There aren't grammatical mistakes</i>	
<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>The study methods are explained clearly.</i>	
<b>5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>The results are clear and do not contain errors.</i>	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<b>x</b>
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**