EUROPEAN SCIENTIFIC JOURNAL

Manuscript: "Associations Between Self-Reported Sleep, Wellbeing and Physical Activity in Irish Adolescents"

Submitted: 20 December 2021 Accepted: 01 February 2022 Published: 28 February 2022

Corresponding Author: John Murphy

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2022.v18n8p1

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Dr Rajasekhar Venkata Kali

Reviewer 2: Leonardo Jose Mataruna-Dos-Santos

dr Rajasekhar Venkata Kali

Once this review has been read, press "Confirm" to indicate that the review process may proceed. If the reviewer has submitted their review elsewhere, you may upload the file below and then press "Confirm" to proceed. Completed: 2021-12-30 04:29 AM

Recommendation: Accept Submission

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- 🖲 Yes
- ° _{No}

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

- *
- 🖲 Yes
- ^O No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:

- *
- Yes
- ° No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

* Yes, appropriate title and the title ensured to contain the adequate contents of the main article.

(Please insert your comments)

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

* Not really appropriate and could not include the methods, though the results were incorporated in a nutshell.

(Please insert your comments)

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

(Please insert your comments)

No grammatical errors at all, and the article language is quite good.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

* Yes, the methods of the study were clearly explained.

(Please insert your comments)

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

* The whole paper runs very clear and carries the essential elements of the article writing.

(Please insert your comments)

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

* Yes, the conclusions drawn were appropriate and accurate keeping in view of the data analysis.

(Please insert your comments)

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

* Yes, references are comprehensive and appropriate.

Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa.

(Please insert your comments)

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

- *
- 0 1
- ° 2
- • 3
- • 4

• • 5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- • 1
- • 2
- • 3
- • 4
- • 5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- • 1
- • 2
- • 3
- • 4
- • 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- • 1
- • 2
- • 3
- • 4
- • 5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- • 1
- • 2
- • 3
- • 4
- • 5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- • 1
- • 2
- • 3
- • 4
- ° 5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

- *
- 0 1
- • 2
- • 3
- • 4
- • 5

Overall Recommendation!!!

- *
- • Accepted, no revision needed
- C Accepted, minor revision needed
- C Return for major revision and resubmission
- [©] Reject

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

Leonardo Jose Mataruna-Dos-Santos

Once this review has been read, press "Confirm" to indicate that the review process may proceed. If the reviewer has submitted their review elsewhere, you may upload the file below and then press "Confirm" to proceed. Completed: 2021-12-30 11:01 AM Recommendation: Revisions Required

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- 🖲 Yes
- ^O No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: *

- 🖲 Yes
- No No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- 🍳 Yes
- ^O No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

* The title is clear and adequate to the manuscript.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

* Clear abstract, however, kindly include a conclusive idea in the end.

(Please insert your comments)

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

(Please insert your comments) It is required a final proofreader.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

* The methods are adequate to the research presented.

(Please insert your comments)

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

* No modifications are required.

(Please insert your comments)

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

* The conclusion should be rewritten using impersonal language. Bring some recommendations for future investigations.

(Please insert your comments)

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

* It was possible to find only one reference from 2021. Kindly, include more actual sources about the subject.

Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa.

(Please insert your comments)

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

- *
- ° 1
- 🖲 2
- ° 3
- • 4
- ° 5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

- *
- • 1
- ° 2
- • 3
- • 4
- ° 5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

- *
- • 1
- [©] 2
- • 3
- • 4
- ° 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

- *
- • 1
- [®] 2
- • 3
- • 4
- • 5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

- *
- • 1
- [©] 2
- • 3
- • 4
- • 5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

- *
- 0 1
- ° 2
- ° 3
- • 4
- ° 5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

- *
- • 1
- ° 2
- • 3
- • 4
- ° 5

Overall Recommendation!!!

- *
- C Accepted, no revision needed
- • Accepted, minor revision needed
- C Return for major revision and resubmission
- ^O Reject

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

