EUROPEAN SCIENTIFIC JOURNAL by European Scientific Institute ESI 10 YEARS

Manuscript: **"Determinants of Demand for Health Care Among Sexually Transmitted Infections Patients in Kenya"**

Submitted: 28 January 2021
Accepted: 16 February 2022
Published: 28 February 2022

Corresponding Author: Mutuku Kioko

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2022.v18n8p58

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Janaka Jayawickrama

Reviewer 2: Shakeel Mahmood

Reviewer 3: Bupinder Zutshi

Janaka Jayawickrama

Once this review has been read, press "Confirm" to indicate that the review process may proceed. If the reviewer has submitted their review elsewhere, you may upload the file below and then press "Confirm" to proceed.
Completed: 2022-02-08 05:42 AM
Recommendation: Revisions Required

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ`s website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- (•) Yes
- ( ) No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper:

*

- (•) Yes
- ( ) No

You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper:

*

- (•) Yes
- ( ) No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

*** The title is clear and explain the contents.**

(Please insert your comments)

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

*** The abstract explain the objects, methods, and results of this paper.**

(Please insert your comments)

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

It would be good to proof read before final publication.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

*** There is a good theoretical explanation to the method and Mwabu's framework has been used as the analytical framework.**

(Please insert your comments)

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

*** There is a logical process to this paper and it is easy to follow.**

(Please insert your comments)

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

*** The conclusion is supported by the content.**

(Please insert your comments)

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

*****

Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa.

(Please insert your comments)

There is a good set of references at the end.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*****

- ○ 1
- ○ 2
- ○ 3
- ○ 4
- ◉ 5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- ○ 1
- ○ 2
- ○ 3
- ◉ 4
- ○ 5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- ○ 1
- ○ 2
- ○ 3
- ◉ 4
- ○ 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- ○ 1
- ○ 2
- ○ 3
- ◉ 4
- ○ 5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- ○ 1
- ○ 2

- ○ 3
- ◉ 4
- ○ 5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- ○ 1
- ○ 2
- ○ 3
- ◉ 4
- ○ 5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- ○ 1
- ○ 2
- ○ 3
- ◉ 4
- ○ 5

Overall Recommendation!!!

*

- ○ Accepted, no revision needed
- ◉ Accepted, minor revision needed
- ○ Return for major revision and resubmission
- ○ Reject

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

This is a valuable contribution and the researcher shows a deep understanding of the context and subject. There are few minor suggestions and please see the attached.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

Overall, this is a good paper. However, I am not familiar with the Mwabu's framework and it would be good to get another reviewer to have a look at the analysis.

Bupinder Zutshi

Once this review has been read, press "Confirm" to indicate that the review process may proceed. If the reviewer has submitted their review elsewhere, you may upload the file below and then press "Confirm" to proceed.

Completed: 2022-02-13 07:02 AM

Recommendation: Accept Submission

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ`s website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- (•) Yes
- ( ) No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper:

*

- (•) Yes
- ( ) No

You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper:

*

- (•) Yes
- ( ) No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

*** Yes, the title of the paper appropriately depicts the contents of the research paper**

(Please insert your comments)

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

*** Yes, the abstract of the paper is in sync with the guidelines and represent the research papers aim, objectives, research methodology used and major findings. Any persons reading the abstract will get overview of the research paper.**

(Please insert your comments)

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

Linguistically and grammatically, research paper is satisfactory. The communication is fine.

(Please insert your comments)

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

*** Researcher has sound methodology in sync with the requirements of the research papers objectives and research questions. Tables presented depict the research outcomes. However graphical representation of few tables would have added value and readers could have easily understood the interpretation of the methods selected . Research outputs derived are based on robust data collected by the state agencies.**

(Please insert your comments)

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

*** Yes, the body of the research paper depicts the research theme, its aims, objectives and research methodology. Interpretation and analysis are precise and communicative of the outcomes arrived at , using statistical tools and techniques. Interpretation are appropriate and linked with the literature reviewed by the researcher.**

(Please insert your comments)

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

*** Conclusions indicated are precise and represent the findings arrived at by the researcher after using sound and appropriate research methodology.**

(Please insert your comments)

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

*** List of references and bibliography is in tune with the journals guidelines.**

Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa.

(Please insert your comments)

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- ○ 1
- ○ 2
- ○ 3
- ◉ 4
- ○ 5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- ○ 1
- ○ 2
- ○ 3
- ◉ 4
- ○ 5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- ○ 1
- ○ 2
- ○ 3
- ◉ 4
- ○ 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- ○ 1
- ○ 2
- ○ 3
- ◉ 4
- ○ 5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- ○ 1
- ○ 2
- ○ 3
- ○ 4
- ◉ 5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- ○ 1
- ○ 2
- ○ 3
- ◉ 4
- ○ 5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- ○ 1
- ○ 2
- ○ 3
- ◉ 4
- ○ 5

Overall Recommendation!!!

*

- (•) Accepted, no revision needed
- ( ) Accepted, minor revision needed
- ( ) Return for major revision and resubmission
- ( ) Reject

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

Shakeel Mahmood

Once this review has been read, press "Confirm" to indicate that the review process may proceed. If the reviewer has submitted their review elsewhere, you may upload the file below and then press "Confirm" to proceed.
Completed: 2022-02-11 02:18 AM
Recommendation: Revisions Required

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ`s website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- (•) Yes
- ( ) No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper:

*

- (•) Yes
- ( ) No

You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper:

*

- (•) Yes
- ( ) No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

***ok**

(Please insert your comments)

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

*** government role needs to be highlighter at the conclusion part. Need to be more specific towards government role.**

(Please insert your comments)

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

N/A

(Please insert your comments)

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

***ok**

(Please insert your comments)

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

*** N/A**

(Please insert your comments)

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

*** It is a good paper, however the author should also look at the other part of the world, that is India and china.**

(Please insert your comments)

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

*** Perhaps the author may use some of the paper written by Shakeel Mahmood on women and HIV from Bangladesh perspective.**

Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa.

(Please insert your comments)

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Overall Recommendation!!!

*

- ○ Accepted, no revision needed
- ◉ Accepted, minor revision needed
- ○ Return for major revision and resubmission
- ○ Reject

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Its a good paper and I recommend to get published after minor revision.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: