EUROPEAN SCIENTIFIC JOURNAL ESI

YEARS

Paper: "La Gestion Financiere des Cooperatives Scolaires des Ecoles Primaires Publiques du District d'Abidjan - Cote d'Ivoire"

Submitted: 29 March 2021 Accepted: 18 February 2022 Published: 28 February 2022

Corresponding Author: Coulibaly Abdoulaye

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2022.v18n6p40

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Blinded

Reviewer 2: Oumar Bah Université Sup' Management, Mali

Reviewer 3: Ihsane Kouchou Faculté des Sciences Dhar El Mehraz, Fès, Maroc

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Dr Oumar BAH	Email:		
University/Country: Sup' Management - Mali			
Date Manuscript Received: 9 Avril 2021	Date Review Report Submitted:		
Manuscript Title: COOPERATIVES SCOLAIRES ET GESTION FINANCIERE			
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0426/21			
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/No yes			
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No yes			
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No yes			

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	2
(Please insert your comments)	

La gestion administrative et financière des coopératives so	olaire
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	3
(Please insert your comments)	
Les méthodes utilisées sont adaptées à la situation, mais l' l'objet d'une vérification par un logiciel d'étude de march exemple)	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3
(Please insert your comments) L'ensemble dolt être relu	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	3
(Please insert your comments) Les méthodes sont clairement expliquées et adaptées à la s	ituation d'enquête
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	2
(Please insert your comments) La présentation des résultats doit être revue	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	
(Please insert your comments)	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	
(Please insert your comments)	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s): Le travail doit faire l'objet d'un profond murissement, les méthodes et calculs sont bons, mais doivent faire l'objet de révisions et de vérifications.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: A l'état actuel, le manuscrit n'est pas acceptable.

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received: 12 May 2021	Date Review Report Submitted: 18 May 2021		
Manuscript Title: LA GEST SCOLAIRES	TION FINANCIERE DES COOPERATIVES		
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0426/21			
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: No			
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this pa Yes	aper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:		

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
I think the author need to insert the country of the study.	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	2

The author need to more improve the abstract	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	5
(Please insert your comments)	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5
Yes	
5. The resultsare clear and do not contain errors.	5
Yes	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
Yes	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	3
The references part need to be revised. It should be written with AP	A standards.

Overall Recommendation(mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: