

Paper: “Could Territorial Entities in the Territory of the GUAM be Considered as Sovereign States?”

Submitted: 14 January 2022

Accepted: 27 February 2022

Published: 28 February 2022

Corresponding Author: Ekaterina Kosiuk

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2022.v18n7p1](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2022.v18n7p1)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Blinded

Reviewer 2: Kazimierz Albin Klosinski
John Paul II Catholic University of Lublin, Poland

Reviewer 3: Dejan Marolov
European Scientific Institute, ESI

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ`s website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

*

(Please insert your comments)

I propose in the title of work and in the text to use the deadline "space AGMU" [= Azerbaijan, Georgia, Moldova, Ukraine] instead of "The post-soviet space". This politically essential and correct is.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

*

(Please insert your comments)

Yes, clearly presents objects, methods and results.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

(Please insert your comments)

I do not perceive.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The study methods are explained very clearly.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

*

(Please insert your comments)

I propose the titles of tables to place over tables.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The conclusion and summary are accurate and supported by the content.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

*

Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa.

(Please insert your comments)

I think the list of references is comprehensive and appropriate.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4

- 5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Overall Recommendation!!!

*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ`s website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The author can use better formulation in some interrogative form rather than a statement.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

*

(Please insert your comments)

yes

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

(Please insert your comments)

The language level is fair enough.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

*

(Please insert your comments)

They are clear even though
some more study methods would
have benefited the article.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

*

(Please insert your comments)

It is fairly clear.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The conclusion can be improved in
order to be more precise.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

*

Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa.

(Please insert your comments)

It can benefit from some more literature.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4

- 5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Overall Recommendation!!!

*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Vatican is still not a member of UN, while Switzerland is.

Here on page no.4 is not really clear :

"Similarly, a country may choose not to join the UN due its own reasons, as it was with the Vatican, and Switzerland."

On the first use of the aberrations you should explain the full meaning. For example RSFSR (Russian Soviet Federative Socialist Republic).

For this kind of claims you should use some references in support:

"For instance, he made some kind of 'gifts' during his leadership, such as the transfer of Crimea and Sevastopol to Ukraine.

Nowadays the following" on page 5.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: