

Paper: **“Benin Influence on Isua Chieftaincy Titles: A Historical Exploration”**

Submitted: 04 November 2021

Accepted: 07 February 2022

Published: 28 February 2022

Corresponding Author: Olusanya Faboyede

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2022.v18n7p53](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2022.v18n7p53)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Sharad K. Soni
Jawaharlal Nehru University, India

Reviewer 2: Amarjit Singh
Kurukshetra University, India

Reviewer 3: Pinnawala Sangasumana
University of Sri Jayewardenepura, Sri Lanka

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ`s website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The title is clear and adequate to the content of the article as discussed in the body of the text.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

*

(Please insert your comments)

No major grammatical errors and spelling mistakes have been found.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

(Please insert your comments)

No major grammatical errors and spelling mistakes have been found.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The study methods are not there in the main text.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The body of the paper is indeed clear with no error at all.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The conclusion appears to be accurate and supported by the content.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

*

Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa.

(Please insert your comments)

Since the citation is in footnote style with bibliography, it needs to be changed in in-text citation with the list of references. A few website sources need to be checked in terms of correctness of the URL.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4

- 5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Overall Recommendation!!!

*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

The paper entitled "Benin Influence on Isua Chieftaincy Titles: A Historical Exploration" is an excellent piece of work in terms of research and its outcome. But it needs minor revision which can be suggested as follows: (1). Since the study methods are absent in the text, there is a need to include it preferably under the subtitle "Introduction". The methods adopted should clearly be explained; (2). Since the citation has been done in footnote style with bibliography, it needs to be changed in in-text citation with the list of full references. A few website sources need to be checked in terms of correctness of the URL.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Dr. Amarjit Singh	
University/Country: Kurukshetra University, Kurukshetra, INDIA	
Date Manuscript Received: 10 January, 2022	Date Review Report Submitted: 21 January, 2022
Manuscript Title: Benin Influence on Isua Chieftaincy Titles: A Historical Exploration	
ESJ Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	02

<p>The Title of the Research Paper provided by the Author is not apt. The Reviewer has altered the Title of the Research Paper which now reads as, “Benin Influences to the origins of Isua Chieftaincy Titles: A Historical Analysis”. This new Title is clear, apt and adequate to the full text of the Research Paper.</p>	
<p>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</p>	<p>05</p>
<p>The Author of the Research Paper has clearly presented the objectives and research methods of the Paper in the abstract itself. The findings of the Research Paper have also been clearly explained by the author in the abstract.</p>	
<p>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</p>	<p>05</p>
<p>There are no grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in the Research Paper.</p>	
<p>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</p>	<p>05</p>
<p>The Author of the Research Paper has applied proper historical research methodology and author has made an attempt to fill the gaps on Yoruba historiography. The introduction, traditions of history of Isua community, historical relationship between the Benin Kingdom and Isua community, origins and evolution of the titles of Isua Chieftaincy and the conclusions of the Research Paper have been clearly categorized and adequately examined by the Author.</p>	
<p>5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.</p>	<p>05</p>
<p>The Author has examined the subject of the Research Paper clearly and systematically. The subject matter and its presentation has been clearly defined and explained by the author of the research paper. A comparison of the structure, function and duties of the chieftaincy titles in Isua with Benin Kingdom, evolution of the chieftaincy titles and a critique of Benin-Isua relationship have been adequately examined by the Author of the research paper. Historiography on Benin-Isua has also been commented upon clearly by the author. Thus, the results of the Research Paper are clear enough and do not contain any errors.</p>	
<p>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</p>	<p>05</p>
<p>The conclusions drawn by the Author are accurate and are supported by the contents of the Research Paper.</p>	
<p>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</p>	<p>05</p>
<p>The sources consulted by the Author are proper, relevant and adequate enough. The Author has consulted nearly all the relevant primary as well as</p>	

secondary sources for this Research Paper. Obviously, the references are comprehensive and adequately appropriate.

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation):

Accepted, no revision needed	X
Accepted, minor revision needed	Nil
Return for major revision and resubmission	Nil
Reject	Nil

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

NIL

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

1. The Title of the Research Paper has been altered by the Reviewer. The new Title of the Research Paper i.e., “**Benin Influences to the origins of Isua Chieftaincy Titles: A Historical Analysis**” has been provided at Column No. 01 of this Manuscripts Evaluation Form.
2. The Research Paper is adequately good in all aspects. The scientific validity and the technical qualities of the Paper are adequate. Thus, it is recommended that the Research Paper may be accepted for publication in the prestigious European Scientific Journal ESJ but only with the altered Title as suggested by the Reviewer.