

**Paper: “Contribution a la Securisation Fonciere en Milieu Rural Camerounais:
Le cas de la Commune de Koutaba (Ouest-Cameroun)”**

Submitted: 15 December 2021

Accepted: 17 February 2022

Published: 28 February 2022

Corresponding Author: Mediebou Chindji

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2022.v18n7p68](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2022.v18n7p68)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Blinded

Reviewer 2: Denis Pompidou Folefack

Centre Africain de Recherche sur Bananiers et Plantains (CARBAP), Cameroon

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: FOLEFACK	
University/Country: IRAD/CARBAP, Cameroon	
Date Manuscript Received:	Date Review Report Submitted: 04/01/2022
Manuscript Title: CONTRIBUTION A LA SECURISATION FONCIERE EN MILIEU RURAL CAMEROUNAIS	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 50.10.2021	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes/No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes/No	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes/No	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i>
	[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	3
<i>(Le titre de l'article est bien clair et en adéquation avec le contenu)</i>	

2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4
<i>(Résumé bien présenté avec ses composantes)</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3
<i>Des nombreuses fautes à corriger dans le textes</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	2
<i>(La méthodologie n'est pas clairement présenté, je pense que l'auteur doit bien la présenté soit à la fin de la première partie ou dans une section séparée)</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	3
<i>(Résultats présentés, mais il reste à mieux structure, des tableaux et des figures). Des propositions ont été faites pour une meilleure compréhension du processus d'immatriculation et le cout.</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>(Bonne conclusion)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	3
<i>(Nécessaire d'harmoniser)</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	XX
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

C'est un article d'actualité, qui peut contribuer a sensibiliser les camerounais sur le processus de securisation foncière. Les auteurs ont intérêt a apporter des amendements nécessaires envue d'améliorer la qualité de cet article

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: