

Manuscript: “**Study of Water Desorption Isotherms from Cocoa Beans (Theobroma Cacao L.) of Cameroon**”

Submitted: 21 July 2021

Accepted: 04 January 2022

Published: 31 March 2022

Corresponding Author: Jean Luc Nsouandélé

Doi:10.19044/esj.2022.v18n11p1

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Alain DANEBE KADA

Reviewer 2: Barthélemy ATTIOUA

Alain DANEBE KADA

Once this review has been read, press "Confirm" to indicate that the review process may proceed. If the reviewer has submitted their review elsewhere, you may upload the file below and then press "Confirm" to proceed.

Completed: 2021-11-02 11:40 AM

Recommendation: See Comments

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

*** It is OK**

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

*** more details should be added for methods, and a french version is needed**

(Please insert your comments)

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

Yes, in the body of the work (results and methods) there are many grammatical mistakes

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

*** Methods are well presented, just to correct language.**

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

*** There a dilemma of language and some results need to more discussed**

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

*** Good! there is a "coca" that should be changed to "Cocoa"**

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

*** Well done**

Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa.

(Please insert your comments)

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1

- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Overall Recommendation!!!

*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

Barthélemy ATTIOUA

Once this review has been read, press "Confirm" to indicate that the review process may proceed. If the reviewer has submitted their review elsewhere, you may upload the file below and then press "Confirm" to proceed.

Completed: 2021-12-07 04:24 PM

Recommendation: Revisions Required

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

*** Yes , the title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article**

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

***yes**

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

Yes, see the attached manuscript

The study **METHODS** are explained clearly.

* **Yes**

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

* **no. There some errors of presentation ; see the attached manuscript**

The **CONCLUSION** or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

* **Yes**

The list of **REFERENCES** is comprehensive and appropriate.

* **Yes**

*Please rate the **TITLE** of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

*Please rate the **ABSTRACT** of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

*Please rate the **LANGUAGE** of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Overall Recommendation!!!

*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:
Reviewer Files