

Manuscript: “**Apport Nutritionnel Des Aliments Consommés Par Les Adolescents De L’école Congolaise De Basket Ball « Gametime » De Brazzaville**”

**Submitted: 20 October 2021**

**Accepted: 12 March 2022**

**Published: 31 March 2022**

Corresponding Author: **Eddie Janvier BOUHIKA**

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2022.v18n11p21

Peer review:

**Reviewer 1:** Blinded

**Reviewer 2:** Boussana Alain, Marien Ngouabi University, Republic of Congo

# ***ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021***

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.  
***ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!***

Reviewer Name: Boussana Alain	
University/Country: Marien Ngouabi University, Republic of Congo	
Date Manuscript Received: 24-02-2022	Date Review Report Submitted:
Manuscript Title: <b>Apport nutritionnel des aliments consommés par les adolescents de l'école Congolaise de Basket Ball « Gametime » de Brazzaville</b>	
ESJ Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/No : Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No, yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No	

## **Evaluation Criteria:**

**Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.**

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments) The title of the study is clear and it is adequate to the content of the manuscript</i>	

<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results. The summary presents well and clearly the objects, methods and results. However, I suggest that the word quantify be replaced by assess, the word youth by adolescents and deleted Basketteurs. My suggestion « Notre étude avait pour objectif <b>d'évaluer</b> l'énergie apportée par les aliments consommés par les <b>adolescents</b> de l'école congolaise de Basket-ball (GAMETIME) pendant la période d'entraînement et comparer ces apports aux normes recommandées par les nutritionnistes »	
<b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> , yes, there are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this manuscript	
<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	<b>2,5</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> , The methods are clear and explained but need to be rearranged as I suggested.	
<b>5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> The results look correct to me.	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>2,5</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content, but need to be fixed as I suggested. In the conclusion, we will not recall the objective of the subject. It is necessary to give a summary of the results and to support what these results bring and to identify if possible the prospects.	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	<b>2</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> References must be in APA format	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	<b>X</b>
Return for major revision and resubmission	

Reject	
--------	--

1- **Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

Your subjects are basketball players (average age 15 years old), average player weight is  $41.57 \pm 5.18$  kg and average height is  $1.69 \pm 0.09$ , do they have normal growth? do you think that these data are due to a bad food balance?

In the conclusion, we will not recall the objective of the subject. It is necessary to give a summary of the results and to support what these results bring and to identify if possible the prospects.

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**