

Manuscript: **“Alarming Mortality of Biliary Atresia in Two Senegalese Tertiary Hospitals: A Plea For Early Diagnosis”**

**Submitted: 24 January 2022**

**Accepted: 12 March 2022**

**Published: 31 March 2022**

Corresponding Author: **Florent Tshibwid Zeng**

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2022.v18n11p51](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2022.v18n11p51)

Peer review:

**Reviewer 1:** Bruce C. Bvulani

**Reviewer 2:** Hisham S. Ibrahim Alshaikhli

**Reviewer 3:** Cinarialbadri

## Bruce C. Bvulani

Once this review has been read, press "Confirm" to indicate that the review process may proceed. If the reviewer has submitted their review elsewhere, you may upload the file below and then press "Confirm" to proceed.

Completed: 2022-02-09 01:01 PM

Recommendation: Revisions Required

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

\*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

\*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:

\*

- Yes
- No

**The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.**

**\*yes**

**The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.**

**\*yes**

**There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.**

yes as documented in the tracked copy to be attached

**The study METHODS are explained clearly.**

**\*yes**

**The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.**

\* It does as explained in the tracked copy to be attached

**The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.**

**\* Conclusion needs some adjustment**

**The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.**

**\* Referencing is adequate**

Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa.

(Please insert your comments)

***Please rate the TITLE of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

**\***

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

**\***

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the METHODS of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the BODY of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2

- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

**Overall Recommendation!!!**

\*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

## **Hisham S. Ibrahim Alshaikhli**

Once this review has been read, press "Confirm" to indicate that the review process may proceed. If the reviewer has submitted their review elsewhere, you may upload the file below and then press "Confirm" to proceed.

Completed: 2022-02-15 09:30 PM

Recommendation: Accept Submission

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

\*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper.

You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

\*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:

\*

- Yes
- No

**The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.**

**\* The title is clear and adequate to the content**

**The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.**

**\* Clear abstract in terms of objectives, methodology and results**

**There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.**

Need to send for professional copyediting

**The study METHODS are explained clearly.**

**\* The methodology is well explained**

**The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.**

**\* The body is acceptable**

(Please insert your comments)

**The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.**

**\* Conclusion is accurate and well understandable**

**The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.**

**\* The references need to be up to date, use references in the last 5 years**

***Please rate the TITLE of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the METHODS of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1

- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the BODY of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

**Overall Recommendation!!!**

\*



- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

Reviewer Files

## Cinaria Albadri

Once this review has been read, press "Confirm" to indicate that the review process may proceed. If the reviewer has submitted their review elsewhere, you may upload the file below and then press "Confirm" to proceed.

Completed: 2022-03-19 10:18 AM

Recommendation: Revisions Required

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

\*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

\*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:

\*

- Yes
- No

**The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.**

**\* The title is clear with appropriate structure and adequate to the content of the article.**

**The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.**

**\* The abstract summarizes most of the study, and clearly presents the objects, methods and key findings with an appropriate length (227 words) according to the journal guidelines.**

**There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.**

The paper is clear and well organized, with few grammatical and spelling mistakes that require a review by the author.

**The study METHODS are explained clearly.**

**\* Description of methods is well described according to the research's nature, purpose and design. Data collection from medical records of patients were clearly specified and resulted in clear fulfillment of the research aims.**

**The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.**

**\* The topic of this manuscript was well accordant with the scope of the journal. However, the introduction is too short. Overall, the paper is clear and well organized, and the purpose of the study is clear.**

**The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.**

**\* Conclusion is concise. Although, it contains the key findings of the retrospective review.**

**The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.**

**\* References are appropriate , however the author needs to include up-to-date references.**

***Please rate the TITLE of this paper.***

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

**\***

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

*Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

*Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

*Please rate the METHODS of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

*Please rate the BODY of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2

- 3
- 4
- 5

*Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

*Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

**Overall Recommendation!!!**

\*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject