

Manuscript: “**Evaluation of Facial Soft-Tissue Morphology among Different Vertical Skeletal Profile**”

Submitted: 30 January 2021

Accepted: 27 February 2022

Published: 31 March 2022

Corresponding Author: **Ghadir Abdulal**

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2022.v18n11p117](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2022.v18n11p117)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Blinded

Reviewer 2: Ali Rasheed Alhamammi

Ali Rasheed Alhamammi

Once this review has been read, press "Confirm" to indicate that the review process may proceed. If the reviewer has submitted their review elsewhere, you may upload the file below and then press "Confirm" to proceed.

Completed: 2022-02-23 06:49 AM

Recommendation: Accept Submission

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

*** I agreed with the title just with simple change for the last word to be profile instead of dimensions so yes I agreed with I suggest the title should be " Evaluation of Facial Soft-Tissue Morphology among Different Vertical Skeletal profiles "**

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

*** Yes I agreed with that**

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

*** Yes off course**

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

*** Yes I agreed with that**

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

*** It need some explanation for the results conclusion with few words**

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

*** Yes I agreed with that**

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4

- 5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Overall Recommendation!!!

*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

The use of few specific words in the representation of facial structures relation with each other to strong the conclusion outcome

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

Good work just with simple change for the title and conclusion