EUROPEAN SCIENTIFIC JOURNAL

Manuscript: **"Régime Méditerranéen Et Prévalence Des Facteurs De Risque Cardio-Métabolique Au Maroc Oriental"**

Submitted: 29 Octomber 2021 Accepted: 28 February 2022 Published: 31 March 2022

Corresponding Author:

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2022.v18n11p135

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Blinded

Reviewer 2: Yahya Rokni

Reviewer 3: Amina Hasnaoui

Yahya Rokni

Once this review has been read, press "Confirm" to indicate that the review process may proceed. If the reviewer has submitted their review elsewhere, you may upload the file below and then press "Confirm" to proceed. Completed: 2022-02-28 12:04 AM Recommendation: Accept Submission

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- 🖲 Yes
- ^O No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

- *
- Yes
- [©] No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:

- *
- Yes
- No No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

* The title is very clear and corresponds to the different parts of the article.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

* The abstract clearly presents the objects of the work of the article, the methods and results are also present so it is clear and well written .

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

The article is well written with an appreciated writing style. but there are some punctuation errors.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

* The Materials and Methods section is clear, seems reproducible, the methodology can be reduced to incorporate all the essential parts and add the rest in the annex part.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

* Statistics are well mastered which is clearly appearing in figures and tables presented in a very explicit way,

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

* The conclusions are well developed, but the differences between urban and rural areas need to be identified

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

* Revise the writing style of the referees to conform to the APA citation style

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

- *
- 0 1
- ⁰ 2
- • 3
- • 4
- ° 5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

- *
- • 1
- ° 2
- • 3
- • 4

• • 5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

- *
- • 1
- ° 2
- • 3
- • 4
- • 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

- *
- • 1
- ° 2
- • 3
- • 4
- ° 5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

- *
- • 1
- ° 2
- • 3
- • 4
- ° 5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- ° 1
- ° 2
- ° 3
- • 4
- • 4 • • 5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 0 1
- ° 2
- • 3
- • 4
- • 5

Overall Recommendation!!!

- *
- C Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- C Return for major revision and resubmission
- ^O Reject

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

The manuscript needs some minor revisions to take in consideration before its publication.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

The manuscript needs some minor revisions to take in consideration before its publication. In attached file you will find the document for some corrections. Yours sincerely, Best wish,