

Manuscript: “**Valoración Del Miedo Ante La Muerte En Estudiantes De Enfermería**”

**Submitted: 09 February 2021**

**Accepted: 01 March 2022**

**Published: 31 March 2022**

Corresponding Author:

Doi:10.19044/esj.2022.v18n11p195

Peer review:

**Reviewer 1:** Nancy Ruíz Vargas

**Reviewer 2:** Sarket Gizeh Juárez Nilo, Facultad de Enfermería de la Universidad Autónoma de Querétaro.

# ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.  
***ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!***

Date Manuscript Received: 11-febrero-2022	Date Review Report Submitted: 16-febrero-2022
Manuscript Title: Valoración del miedo ante la muerte en estudiantes de Enfermería	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 61.02.2022	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No	

## Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>El titulo es claro y adecuado con el contenido del artículo.</i>	
<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>El resumen describe objetivos claros y coherentes con los métodos y resultados.</i>	

<b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>No hay errores de redacción y sintaxis en el manuscrito. Revisar página 7 uso de mayúsculas: "...semestre par o impar; Ver figura 2"</i>	
<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>Los métodos se explican claramente.</i>	
<b>5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>Son claros, no contiene errores.</i>	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>Las referencias son completas.</i>	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<b>X</b>
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

Excelente artículo, claro y concise.

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**

Felicitaciones al grupo editor de esta revista.

## ***ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021***

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of

the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.  
***ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!***

Reviewer Name: Sarket Gizeh Juárez Nilo	
University/Country: Facultad de Enfermería de la Universidad Autónoma de Querétaro.	
Date Manuscript Received: 11 Febrero 2022	Date Review Report Submitted: 19 Febrero 2022
Manuscript Title: VALORACIÓN DEL MIEDO ANTE LA MUERTE EN ESTUDIANTES DE ENFERMERÍA.	
ESJ Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	

### Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>El título es adecuado al contenido del artículo.</i>	
<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>Resumen contiene los elementos, indicados. Presenta más de 250 palabras según normas de la Revista, se sugiere realizar ajuste.</i>	
<b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	<b>4</b>

<i>Se encontraron algunos errores gramaticales en las oraciones. Se realizan observaciones utilizando el control de cambios.</i>	
<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>El método contiene los elementos adecuados.</i>	
<b>5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>Los resultados son claros, incluyen descripción.</i>	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>La discusión contiene los autores de los artículos con los cuales se compararon los resultados. Redacción clara.</i>	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>La mayoría de las referencias son actuales.</i>	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	<b>X</b>
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

Que el artículo regrese a los autores para que consideren las observaciones que se agregaron y realizarlos, si están de acuerdo.

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**