

Manuscript: **“Valoración Del Nivel De Ansiedad En La Persona Con Diabetes Durante La Pandemia”**

**Submitted: 11 December 2021**

**Accepted: 09 March 2022**

**Published: 31 March 2022**

Corresponding Author: **Ruth Magdalena Gallegos Torres**

Doi:10.19044/esj.2022.v18n11p256

Peer review:

**Reviewer 1:** Sergio Quiroz

**Reviewer 2:** Javier Salazar Mendoza

**Reviewer 3:** Rafaela Estévez Ramos

## Sergio Quiroz

Once this review has been read, press "Confirm" to indicate that the review process may proceed. If the reviewer has submitted their review elsewhere, you may upload the file below and then press "Confirm" to proceed.

Completed: 2022-02-19 08:12 PM

Recommendation: Resubmit for Review

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

\*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

\*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:

\*

- Yes
- No

**The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.**

**\* The title is appropriate to the content of the article, the study variable is mentioned and its population correctly.**

**The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.**

**\* The summary is mostly adequate according to the information, however, it is suggested to mention in the conclusion the impact or contribution generated by this study.**

**There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.**

No grammatical or syntax errors identified.

**The study METHODS are explained clearly.**

**\* Show a suitable method for study.**

**The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.**

**\* The body of the document shows an adequate distribution, no significant errors were identified.**

**The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.**

**\* They show a conclusion, however, it is suggested to mention the impact or new contributions to the area of study. Whether the results obtained can support any public policy or apply strategies in the patients mentioned.**

**The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.**

**\* Show a list of references according to the information mentioned in the text**

*Please rate the TITLE of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

*Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

\*

- 1

- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.***

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the METHODS of this paper.***

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the BODY of this paper.***

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.***

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

*Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

**Overall Recommendation!!!**

\*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

They show a study of current importance, represents an area of interest in public health. It is suggested to mention the novel contributions or impact that could be applied in services and/or care, according to the results obtained. It is also suggested to mention in the introduction studies carried out in Mexico that analyze the same problem of the article, or if they do not exist.

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**

They show a good manuscript, only some minor modifications are suggested as to the form and soundness of the information obtained.

# JAVIER SALAZAR MENDOZA

Once this review has been read, press "Confirm" to indicate that the review process may proceed. If the reviewer has submitted their review elsewhere, you may upload the file below and then press "Confirm" to proceed.

Completed: 2021-12-17 03:51 PM

Recommendation: Revisions Required

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

\*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

\*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:

\*

- Yes
- No

**The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.**

**\* El título es claro y adecuado, sugiero cambio del término paciente diabético por persona con diabetes.**

**The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.**

**\* El resumen es claro y si presenta el objetivo, método y resultados**

**There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.**

Si se identificaron errores gramaticales y ortográficos, por ejemplo: introducción, referencias (lo dejaron en inglés..

**The study METHODS are explained clearly.**

**\* Se puede entender el apartado de metodología, faltaron elementos que pudieron permitir una mayor comprensión.**

**The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.**

**\* El artículo es claro en su mayoría, si contiene errores que se pueden mejorar.**

**En los resultados se deben reestructurar y cuidar la edición de todo el apartado para una mejor claridad e interpretación.**

**The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.**

**\* La conclusión es clara y acorde al contenido.**

**The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.**

**\* Son correctas y apropiadas.**

***Please rate the TITLE of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

**\***

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

**\***

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4

- 5

*Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

*Please rate the METHODS of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

*Please rate the BODY of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

*Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*



- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

***Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.***

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

**Overall Recommendation!!!**

\*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

Se sugiere revisar el documento ya que tiene errores básicos que deben atenderse.

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**

El documento puede publicarse, siempre y cuando se atiendan las observaciones.

## Rafael Antonio Estévez Ramos

Once this review has been read, press "Confirm" to indicate that the review process may proceed. If the reviewer has submitted their review elsewhere, you may upload the file below and then press "Confirm" to proceed.

Completed: 2022-02-15 02:56 PM

Recommendation: Accept Submission

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

\*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

\*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:

\*

- Yes
- No

**The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.**

**\* Existe correspondencia entre el título del trabajo y el contenido del artículo**

**The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.**

**\* En el Resumen se ven reflejado el objetivo, la metodología utilizada y los principales resultados del estudio**

**There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.**

No encuentro errores gramaticales, ni de ortografía

**The study METHODS are explained clearly.**

\* Los métodos son claros y precisos, además de adecuados para el tipo de estudio

**The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.**

\* **El cuerpo del artículo guarda coherencia y claridad, no se observan errores**

**The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.**

\* **Si**

**The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.**

\* **Es completa y adecuada**

**Please rate the TITLE of this paper.**

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

**Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.**

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

**Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.**

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

*Please rate the METHODS of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

*Please rate the BODY of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

*Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

*Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

\*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

**Overall Recommendation!!!**

\*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

Felicidades

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**

Gracia spor la oportunidad