

Manuscript: **“Tendances des inégalités socioéconomiques et spatiales de la santé des enfants de moins de 5ans au Niger”**

Submitted: 27 April 2021

Accepted: 21 January 2022

Published: 31 March 2022

Corresponding Author: **Adamou Habila**

Doi:10.19044/esj.2022.v18n11p297

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: AKMEL Meless Siméon, Université Alassane Ouattara (Bouaké-Côte d’Ivoire)

Reviewer 2: Sidiki KABA, Université GLC de Sonfonia-Conakry / Guinée

Reviewer 3: Blinded

Reviewer 4: Blinded

Reviewer 5: Gnamien Konan Bah Modeste, Université Jean Lorougnon Guede – Daloa Cote D’ivoire

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.
ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: AKMEL Meless Siméon	
University/Country: Université Alassane Ouattara (Bouaké-Côte d'Ivoire)	
Date Manuscript Received: 07/06/21	Date Review Report Submitted: 18/06/21
Manuscript Title: L'impact des disparités géographiques et socio-économiques des parents sur les inégalités devant la santé des enfants au Niger	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0538/21	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes /No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes /No	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes /No	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

Le titre est à reformuler	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	2
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Le résumé est à reorganiser. A reprendre	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	2
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Des fautes de forme (grammaire, style, orthographe) sont perceptibles dans le travail	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	2
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> La méthodologie est à revoir (voir correction)	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	2
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> L'introduction est à revoir. Les resultants doivent être en lien avec les objectifs spécifiques, et mis en évidence. Les resultats doivent être séparés de la discussion. Travail à reorganiser dans l'ensemble (voir la correction).	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Trop longue. A revoir	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Assez-bien présentées	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

To assist the author(s) in revising his/her/their manuscript, please separate your remarks into two sections:

- (1) Suggestions, which would improve the quality of the paper but are not essential for publication.
- (2) Changes which must be made before publication

Le titre, le résumé, l'introduction les resultats, la discussion et la conclusion (corrections majeures) avant publication (voir correction)

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Sidiki KABA	
University/Country: Université GLC de Sonfonia-Conakry / Guinée	
Date Manuscript Received: 28/09/2021	Date Review Report Submitted: 28/09/2021
Manuscript Title: L'impact des disparités géographiques et socio-économiques des parents sur les inégalités devant la santé des enfants au Niger	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0538/21	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	2
<i>(Veuillez utiliser la formulation suivante)</i> Tendance des inégalités socioéconomiques et spatiales de la santé des enfants de moins de 5 ans au Niger	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.
ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received: 28/09/2021	Date Review Report Submitted: 02/10/2021
Manuscript Title: L’impact des disparités géographiques et socio-économiques des parents sur les inégalités face à la santé des enfants au Niger.	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0538/21	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Le titre correspond au contenu	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	Yes
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	Yes
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Juste quelques fautes mineures	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	Yes
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> La méthodologie est claire	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> On auraient souhaité voir les analyses basées sur des données plus récentes 2019,2020	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

La conclusion est trop longue veuillez la condenser	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	Yes
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Article intéressant mais on souhaite que les auteurs axent les analyses sur une date proche comme 2020. Je pense qu'il y a des moyens de procéder à une modélisation. Je trouve 2012 trop vieille
La conclusion est à revoir aussi.

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.
ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript Received: 28/09/2021	Date Review Report Submitted: 02/10/2021
Manuscript Title: L'impact des disparités géographiques et socio-économiques des parents sur les inégalités face à la santé des enfants au Niger.	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0538/21	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/No	

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No

You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes/No

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Le titre correspond au contenu	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	Yes
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	Yes
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Juste quelques fautes mineures	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	Yes
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> La méthodologie est claire	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> On aurait souhaité voir les analyses basées sur des données plus récentes 2019,2020	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> La conclusion est trop longue veuillez la condenser	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	Yes
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Article intéressant mais on souhaite que les auteurs axent les analyses sur une date proche comme 2020. Je pense qu'il y a des moyens de procéder à une modélisation. Je trouve 2012 trop vieille
La conclusion est à revoir aussi.

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: GNAMIEN KONAN BAH MODESTE	
University/Country: UNIVERSITE JEAN LOROUGNON GUEDE – DALOA COTE D'IVOIRE	
Date Manuscript Received: 28/09/2021	Date Review Report Submitted: 04/10/2021
Manuscript Title: L'impact des disparités géographiques et socio-économiques des parents sur les inégalités devant la santé des enfants au Niger.	
ESJ Manuscript Number 0538/21	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the published version of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
Le titre est Claire et reflète le contenu de l'article	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	2
Le résumé énonce clairement les résultats mais ne donne pas de précisions sur les données utilisées : Site de recherche, le mode d'échantillonnage, la nature de l'étude (Qualitative / Quantitative). Également le champs disciplinaire dans lequel s'inscrit l'article est à préciser.	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3
Les erreurs grammaticales sont moindres.	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
A ce niveau, certains ajustements sont à faire : Il y a lieu préciser l'objectif de l'étude et de mentionner comment les données utilisées ont été collectées : Sites de recherche, Mode d'échantillonnage, Nature de l'étude (Qualitative / Quantitative) et la discipline scientifique dans laquelle s'inscrit l'étude. Outil de collecte de données Même si les données ont été collectées dans le cadre du EDS/MICS-2006 et EDS/MICS-2012, il est important de donner toutes les informations citées plus haut.	
5. The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.	3
Les analyses effectuées sont bien détaillées mais la prise en compte des points évoqués plus haut s'avère nécessaire. Et une rubrique « Discussion. » est à initier	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	
La conclusion et le résumé reprennent fidèlement le contenu.	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	3
Les références sont compréhensives et appropriées	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

J'encourage les auteurs à prendre en compte toutes ses observations pour améliorer davantage le document.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

Merci pour la confiance renouvelée.