

Paper: “Relationship Between Organisational Factors and Adoption of New Technology in Kenya: A Case of CEMASTE A”

Submitted: 15 February 2022

Accepted: 12 March 2022

Published: 31 March 2022

Corresponding Author: Ann M. Kisaumbi

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2022.v18n9p91](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2022.v18n9p91)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Zaroug Osman Bilal
Dhofar University, Salalah, Oman

Reviewer 2: Blinded

Reviewer 3: Olayinka C. Oloke
Covenant University, Nigeria

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ`s website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The title is clear and adequate.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The abstract was clear with respect to the objective of the study, the methods and results. However, the narrative highlighted should be taken to introduction.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.


(Please insert your comments)

The author should decide on the use of "organization" and "organisation". Few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes were noticed and pointed out in the article. The author is advised to proof read the entire manuscript.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

*

(Please insert your comments)



The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The problem and purpose of the research were explained. Aside the few grammatical and spelling errors, the body of the manuscript

is clear enough. However, the conceptual framework has to be further discussed. Three independent variables were presented. These include the organisation factors, personal factors and external factors. Only the organisation factors reflected in the framework chart. This has to be checked again.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The conclusion is comprehensive and duly supported with relevant evidences/past studies.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

*

Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa.

(Please insert your comments)

The references is appropriate

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1

- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Overall Recommendation!!!

*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

The authors need to make the abstract precise by removing the highlighted part.
The authors should decided on the use of American and British English for some words e.g. organisation
The conceptual framework should reflect the three independent variables presented, viz organisation variables, personal variables and external variables.
The framework should be numbered and captioned/labelled appropriately e.g. Figure x: abcd efg hijk
In the conclusion and recommendation, the author is advised to present the findings and recommendation with confidence. Therefore, the use of words such as 'should', 'could', 'will' must be avoided.
The author could consider aligning the study with the goals of sustainable development

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ`s website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

*

(Please insert your comments)

Relationship Between Organisational Factors And Adoption Of New Technology In Kenya: A Case Of CEMASTE A

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

*

(Please insert your comments)

Yes ,but needs to presents only important and required information LIK OBJECTIVES,METHDOLOGY and FINDINGS

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

(Please insert your comments)

Grammatical errors are present. Complete check is required.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

*

(Please insert your comments)

It is appropraite



The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

*

(Please insert your comments)

1.In the literature review section the researcher have to give details about organizational factors as a sub title fore example communication, leadership,...ect

2.Add recent references (2019-2021)

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

*

(Please insert your comments)

It is appropriate



The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

*

Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa.

(Please insert your comments)

Add recent references (2019-2021) and Replace old references

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the **BODY** of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the **CONCLUSION** of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the **REFERENCES** of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2

- 3
- 4
- 5

Overall Recommendation!!!

*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

The author needs to make minor changes that suggested above to enhance the manuscript

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: