

Paper: “Traumatisme psychique par types des violences sexuelles chez les adultes et les enfants mineurs dans un contexte post-conflit dans la Province de la Tshopo en République Démocratique du Congo”

Submitted: 24 January 2022

Accepted: 28 March 2022

Published: 31 March 2022

Corresponding Author: Marcel Otita

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2022.v18n9p161

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Elena Hunt
Laurentian University, Canada

Reviewer 2: Blinded

Reviewer 3: Seka Yapi Arsène Thierry
École Normale Supérieure d’Abidjan, Côte d’Ivoire

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Elena Hunt	
University/Country: Laurentian University, Canada	
Date Manuscript Received: February 9	Date Review Report Submitted: February 14
Manuscript Title: L'impact psychologique et social par types des violences sexuelles subies par les adultes et les enfants mineurs dans un contexte post-conflit dans la Province de la Tshopo en République Démocratique du Congo	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 17.02.2022	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5

<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>Errors are indicated in the attached file.</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	3
<i>Suggestions and comments are made directly in the file attached.</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	5
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accepted, minor revision needed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Article bien recherché et pertinent. Les annotations et suggestions dans le fichier aideront les auteurs à le parfaire.

Félicitations et bon progrès!

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ`s website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

*

(Please insert your comments)

L’impact psychologique et social par types des violences sexuelles subis par les adultes et les enfants mineurs dans un contexte post-conflit dans la Province de la Tshopo en République Démocratique du Congo

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

*

(Please insert your comments)

oui

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

(Please insert your comments)

oui

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

*

(Please insert your comments)

oui

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

*

(Please insert your comments)

Non. La longueur de certaines phrases rend la lecture du document mal aisée. Le titre est trop long. La numérotation est à revoir pour plus de cohérence

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

*

(Please insert your comments)

La conclusion devrait retracer le problème abordé, la méthode, les principaux résultats, les enseignements, les limites et les perspectives. Tel n'a pas été le cas.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

*

Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa.

(Please insert your comments)

A rectangular text input field with a light gray border. Inside the field, the word "oui" is written in a simple black font. To the right of the text, there is a vertical scroll bar with a small upward-pointing arrow at the top and a downward-pointing arrow at the bottom. Below the text area, there is a horizontal scroll bar with left and right arrow buttons.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3

- 4
- 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Overall Recommendation!!!

*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Revoir l'organisation générale du travail et le système de rémunération

Revoir la discussion qui ressemble plus à une revue de littérature

Restructurer la conclusion (problème abordé-méthode-résultats-enseignements-limites constatées-perspectives)

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ`s website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

*

(Please insert your comments)

le titre est clair et correspond parfaitement au contenu de l'article.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

*

(Please insert your comments)

le résumé est clair mais il convient de faire quelques ajustements au niveau de l'objectif de l'étude.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

(Please insert your comments)

le texte contient très peu d'erreurs grammaticales.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

*

(Please insert your comments)

les méthodes utilisées sont clairement expliquées et bien appliquées.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

*

(Please insert your comments)

le corps du texte est bien rédigé dans l'ensemble avec très peu d'erreurs orthographiques et grammaticales.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

*

(Please insert your comments)

la conclusion est bien menée et correspond à l'esprit du texte.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

*

Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa.

(Please insert your comments)

les références bibliographiques sont dans l'ensemble d'actualité et sont rédigées selon les normes APA;

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4

- 5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Overall Recommendation!!!

*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

le texte manquante dans son ensemble, la question principale de la recherche qui précède naturellement les questions subsidiaires.

Reprendre certains items qui ne correspondent pas à la formulation d'objectifs spécifiques tels que VOIR.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: