

Paper: “Working Poverty in the Framework of the Work Anthropology: A Literature Review and Considerations on Care-related Labour”

Submitted: 11 February 2022

Accepted: 22 March 2022

Published: 31 March 2022

Corresponding Author: Francesco Scotognella

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2022.v18n10p1](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2022.v18n10p1)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Fernando Paulo Oliveira Magalhaes
Polytechnic Institute of Leiria, Portugal

Reviewer 2: Nirmal Kumar Betchoo
University of Mascareignes, Mauritius

Reviewer 3: Byron A. Brown
Botswana Accountancy College, Botswana

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ`s website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The title is succinct. but it needs to be simplified to focus on the dependent and independent variables. it needs to be clear in the title that he paper is a literature review.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The abstract is succinct. But it needs to show the methodology of the paper. It also needs to show the problem question that the paper addresses.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

(Please insert your comments)

A screenshot of a comment box with a light gray border. The text "article reads well" is written in a simple black font. On the right side of the box, there are three small, vertically stacked square buttons. At the bottom of the box, there are two small square buttons, one on the left and one on the right, which appear to be navigation controls.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

*

(Please insert your comments)

The student needs to delineate a methodology. There is no clear methods stated. There is also no clear problem that the paper addresses. The problem statement is needed to judge the alignment of the methods. article reads well

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

*

(Please insert your comments)

Few areas of formatting. Recommend further editing or proofreading

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

*

(Please insert your comments)

A conclusion is provided. But it can be improved. There is need for clear reference to 'primary' and/or 'secondary' data in the findings that are linked to the conclusion. There is need to have a clear section on discussion of findings.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

*

Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa.

(Please insert your comments)

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4

- 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Overall Recommendation!!!

*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

See comments in the sections above

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ`s website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

*

(Please insert your comments)

Yes it is. It is above all a theoretical reflection on the working poverty. So, the title reflects exactly the text.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

*

(Please insert your comments)

Yes. The framing of the text is well done. The ideas presented here are very well founded with bibliographic support.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

(Please insert your comments)

I am not a native english speaker. However I don't saw errors or mistakes on this text.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

*

(Please insert your comments)

Yes. Like the author explains in abstract, is above all a theoretical reflection. The methodology fits on the anthropological procedures.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

*


(Please insert your comments)

Yes. Like referred before, I understood perfectly the text. The language is clear, synthetic and very understandable.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

*

(Please insert your comments)



Yes. They are.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

*

Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa.

(Please insert your comments)

Yes. It is extremely important to have a great bibliography to write a good text.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1

- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Overall Recommendation!!!

*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

I think the text is
good and don't need revision.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ`s website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

*

(Please insert your comments)

Clear title and a slight review regarding the wording.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

*

(Please insert your comments)

Good abstract, concise and well written.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

(Please insert your comments)

See all CORRECTIONS suggested.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

*

(Please insert your comments)

It is basically a review /criticism with a few important views on labour.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

*

(Please insert your comments)

Corrected by reviewer.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

*

(Please insert your comments)

Good conclusion in general.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

*

Each in-text citation has to be included in the list of references and vice versa.

(Please insert your comments)

A small dialog box with a white background and a grey border. It contains the text "OK." in the top left corner. On the right side, there are three vertically stacked buttons: a small upward-pointing triangle, a square, and a small downward-pointing triangle. At the bottom, there are two small square buttons, one on the left and one on the right, with a horizontal line between them.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4

- 5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Overall Recommendation!!!

*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Title has been slightly reviewed
Headings and subheadings are added to clarify work
'I' has been removed and 'the researcher' is added.
The different contributions of each scholar is separated.
Overall good work.
Language level is very good.
A paper that deserves to be published.
Please correct small errors as marked in the document.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: