

**Paper: “The Themes of 'Proactivity' and 'Reactivity' in Achebe's 'Marriage is a Private Affair'”**

**Submitted: 13 April 2021**

**Accepted: 25 March 2022**

**Published: 31 March 2022**

Corresponding Author: Khalid Mahmoud Hussein

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2022.v18n10p100](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2022.v18n10p100)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Nouh I. Saleh Alguzo

Imam Muhammad Ibn Saud Islamic University, Saudi Arabia

Reviewer 2: Moayad Ahmad Alshara

Taif, Saudi Arabia

# ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2020

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

*ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!*

Reviewer Name: Moayad Ahmad Alshara	
University/Country: Taif/Saudi Arabia	
Date Manuscript Received: 17/4/2021	Date Review Report Submitted: 21/4/2021
Manuscript Title: <b>The Themes of Proactivity and Reactivity in Achebe's "Marriage is a Private Affair"</b>	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0479/21	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/No <b>YES</b>	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No <b>YES</b>	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No <b>YES</b>	

## Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i>
------------------	----------------------

	[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> <b>The title is clear and adequate to the content</b>	
<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>The abstract needs some editing and proofreading.</i>	
<b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>See comments on the original manuscript. There are grammatical errors and spelling mistakes which impair the smooth readability of the manuscript.</i>	
<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>There is a slight mentioning of the study methodology.</i>	
<b>5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>The results could be supported by further examples from the story selected.</i>	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>See comments for author and editors.</i>	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	<b>2</b>
<i>The references should be redone and the appropriate APA referencing style should be used.</i> <i>Many references have been included in the reference list but not referred to in the discussion.</i>	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation):

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	<b>X</b>
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

The overall study presents a new topic which would enrich literature research library and domain. However, there are some recommendations for the author:

No period should be placed at the end of the title.

A proofreading and language editing should be done as there are language, punctuation, and grammar errors in the manuscript.

Refer to APA one block quote documentation.

APA in-text and parenthetical citations should be used appropriately.

The author should specify where the narration of the plot of the story ends in the manuscript.

References should be revised.

Date of publication and page numbers of some quotes are missing. (p. 8).

Be consistent in using the names of characters throughout the study.

The author could mention more proactive and reactive instances and link them to the themes of the current study.

The theme that family can tackle any problem if its members stand as one strong front against adversary power should have been explained in the analysis and supported by examples from the story.

The quote on page 10 should be contextualized in the analysis.

### **Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**

If all comments are addressed and rectified, another review process might be conducted.

The paper could be accepted for publication provided that the recommendations are addressed by the author.

The APA writing style should be consistent and appropriate.

A proofreading and language editing should be done as there are language, punctuation, and grammar errors in the manuscript.

The themes concluded at the end of the study should be explained in further details and supported by instances from the story understudy.

Some sentences should be rewritten so that they do not distract the attention of the readers from the main theme.