

Manuscript: **“Perceptions des risques climatiques dans la zone soudanienne du Bénin: Cas des producteurs de maïs du Département de Borgou”**

Submitted: 03 February 2022

Accepted: 03 April 2022

Published: 30 April 2022

Corresponding Author: Valerie Sounouke

Doi:10.19044/esj.2022.v18n14p212

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Akibou Akindele

Reviewer 2: Blinded

Reviewer 3: Agali Alhassane

AGALI Alhassane

Once this review has been read, press "Confirm" to indicate that the review process may proceed. If the reviewer has submitted their review elsewhere, you may upload the file below and then press "Confirm" to proceed.

Completed: 2022-03-23 07:18 PM

Recommendation: Revisions Required

You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper:

*

As part of the Open Review, you can choose to reveal your name to the author of the paper as well as to authorize ESJ to post your name in the review history of the paper. You can also choose to make the review report available on the ESJ's website. However, ESJ encourages its reviewers to support the Open Review concept.

- Yes
- No

You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper:

*

- Yes
- No

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

*** Yes, the title is relatively clear and adapted the content of the article**

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

*** The summary presents elements of the methodology and results quite well. But it does not present anything about the objectives. Also, it has some typos.**

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

The article includes grammatical errors, conjugation errors and misspelled expressions. Suggestions for correction have been made.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

*** The methodology is quite well presented, but it is important to give more details on the static analysis methods used**

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

*** The body of the text is structured, but contains errors and misspoken expressions. Some results (graphs) are insufficiently commented and poorly illustrated.**

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

*** The conclusion is not harmonious (proposals for arrangement have been made). In this part, the authors have tended to venture into an aspect not addressed by the article! It is about the impacts of climate risks. The conclusion refers to them, even though the article did not address them.**

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

*** The bibliographic references are quite extensive, but an effort should be made to improve.**

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5

Overall Recommendation!!!

*

- Accepted, no revision needed
- Accepted, minor revision needed
- Return for major revision and resubmission
- Reject

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

The language and the style of writing must be improved by avoiding phrases with complex turns of phrase. Basically, it is important to compare producers' perceptions with some analysis results (with observed climatic data) of key parameters characterizing the rainy season in Borgou department (cumulative rainfall, start and end dates of the season, occurrence of dry spells, etc.).

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

