

Paper: "Croissance et Decroissance d'une Ville en Phase de Desurbanisation : Le Cas de Mossendjo en Republique du Congo"

Submitted: 08 November 2021 Accepted: 31 March 2022 Published: 30 April 2022

Corresponding Author: Mambou Jean Romuald

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2022.v18n12p193

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Irie Jean-Gael

Université Félix Houphouet-Boigny, Côte d'Ivoire

Reviewer 2: Blinded

## ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: IRIE Jean-Gael			
University/Country: Université Félix Houphouet-Boigny/ Côte d'Ivoire			
Date Manuscript Received: 16-11-2021	Date Review Report Submitted: 24-11-2021		
Manuscript Title: CROISSANCE ET PHASE DE DESURBANISATION REPUBLIQUE DU CONGO			
ESJ Manuscript Number: 1159/21			
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/No			
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No			
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No			

## **Evaluation Criteria:**

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	Rating Result [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
(the title takes into account the essential expressions continued	in the article)

Le titre prend en compte les expressions essentiels continues dans	ns l'article
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	3
(The summary takes up the problem and the essential results)	
Le resumé reprend la problématique et les resultats essentiels	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
(The document contains few errors)	
Le document contient peu de de fautes	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	
(The data acquisition methods are indicated in the document)	
Les méthodes d'acquisition des données sont indiquées dans	le document
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	
(The results are linked to the work methodology)	
Les résultats sont liés à la méthodologie de travail	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	
(The conclusion summarize the results)	
La conclusion faire un recapitulative des résultats	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	
(The references are adapted to the problems treated)	
Les références sont adaptées au problèmes traités	

## Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

## **Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

The work is rewarding and innovative. But like all human works, there are some imperfections.

You will find them in the document

Le travail est enrichissant et innovant. Mais comme toute oeuvres humaines, il y a quelques imperfections.

Vous les trouverez dans le document