Paper: **"Étiquetage et Identification au Contenu des Étiquettes: Une Étude de Cas des Mineurs Délinquants Incarcérés au Cameroun"**

Corresponding Author: Dany Laure Wadji

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Blinded

Reviewer 2: Blinded

Reviewer C:
Recommendation: Accept Submission

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The title is clear and reflects well the content of the article which is based on labeling and juvenile delinquents.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

The abstract presents the object of study "labeling" the category of the target population "young people aged 16 to 18", a method of analyzing the content of discourse and a draft result.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

The text is well written and generally respects grammar and spelling guidelines.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

The study methods are clearly explained. They relate to the examination of case study files (young delinquents aged 16 to 18). The selection criterion is the method of inclusion based on socio-demographic sampling.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

The body of the document is well laid out and corresponds in the content of the text to the IMRAD method (Introduction, Materials, Methods, Analysis and Discussion).

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The conclusion reflects the assessment of the work carried out, the results of the study, the methodological evaluation and the perspectives (a critique of the process used).

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

The list of references is complete and is appropriate to the content of the text.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, no revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

We congratulate the good work carried out by the author.