Paper: **"Developing EFL Learners' Pragmatic Competence through a Blended Learning Model: A Quasi-Experimental Study"**

Corresponding Author: Mohamed Bouftira

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Nirmal Kumar Betchoo
University of Mascareignes, Mauritius

Reviewer 2: Joseph M. Malechwanzi
Pwani University, Kenya

Reviewer G:
Recommendation: Revisions Required

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The title was clear and adequate to the content of the article

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

Authors should post summarized statistical results in the abstract.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

A few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes need to be addressed in the article.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

The study method was clear

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

Authors should avoid unillustrated abbreviation such as L2 in the literature.
Literature review can be organized into main themes as identified in the title for consistency.
The use of bulletins in literature review (Blended learning sections) is unusual.
There is need of separating theoretical framework from purpose of the study.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The conclusion provided is more of a summary for the findings.
Authors need to link the implications to the specific findings of the study.
The use of citation in implication is unnecessary.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

Numerous citations are old (more than 10 years)
Some citations were not included in the reference section such as Kaya and Bachman...
Authors should adhere to APA referencing style

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

1

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

1

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Reviewer I:
Recommendation: Accept Submission

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

Clear and adequate title.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

Abstract is good as it clearly focuses L2 learners.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

No, spelling and punctuation are well done.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

Good study methods.
Reasonable use of tests.
Good comparison and presentation of charts.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

Satisfied with content and format of the paper.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

I accept the conclusion of this research paper.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

Ok, comprehensive list and quite recent citations.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, no revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

A good paper.
Systematic research model.
Good hypotheses developed.
Literature is structured.
Finding are quite good.
The concept of L2 in digitalisation is well approached.
Language is satisfactory.