

**Paper: “Governance’s Role in Business Environment of Visegrad Group /  
Maghreb Countries”**

**Submitted: 11 April 2022**

**Accepted: 20 June 2022**

**Published: 30 June 2022**

Corresponding Author: Sofian Bouhlel

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2022.v18n19p1](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2022.v18n19p1)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Vera Komarova  
Daugavpils University, Latvia

Reviewer 2: Blinded

Reviewer 3: Blinded

-----  
Reviewer D:  
Recommendation: Revisions Required  
-----

**The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.**

The title is: The analysis of good governance's role in economic development V4 / Maghreb

It means that 'good governance' and 'economic development' are the main terms of this study. But the author uses further many other similar terms (and this is unacceptable):

good governance  
effective governance  
governance indicators

economic growth  
economic development  
economic prosperity  
business environment  
business and economic environment  
economic activity  
economic performance  
economic situation

Based on the content of the article, I suggest the following title: Governance's role in business environment of V4 / Maghreb countries.

The word 'analysis' is not needed in the title, because every article is analysis, and this is understandable without any additional mentioning.

**The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.**

V4 and Maghreb countries are not listed.  
Regression analysis is not meant.  
Empirical data sources are not indicated.  
Time period of the study is not indicated.  
Results are not presented.

**There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.**

Some sentences have poor English (please, see my comments and corrections of errors in the text).

**The study METHODS are explained clearly.**

The author applies regression and correlation method, but describe only first one in the methodological chapter, and only second one - in the abstract.

It is not clear, why the author uses scores for governance indicators, but for business environment - ranks.

**The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.**

The body needs to be improved according to comments in the text.

**The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.**

Conclusion like this is too abstract and general: "Thus, the rules in developing policymaking should be focused on improving the capacity of the permanent machinery of government to govern as effective as possible, while offering real solutions for societal problems and creating opportunities for society to develop, for the country's general interest".

**The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.**

It would be nice to have more newest references. Empirical data sources are not included in the reference list.

*Please rate the TITLE of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

2

*Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

2

*Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the METHODS of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the **BODY** of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the **CONCLUSION** of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the **REFERENCES** of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

**Overall Recommendation!!!**

Return for major revision and resubmission

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

I suggest to analyze not one year, but at least 3 years or between 2 years - for example, 2015 and 2020. One year is not enough for stable results. All other comments you can see in the text (marked with yellow).

-----