

**Paper: “Museums and Crisis Management due to Covid -19. Effects of Pandemic and the Role of Digital and Social Networks Communication”**

**Submitted: 29 April 2022**

**Accepted: 06 June 2022**

**Published: 30 June 2022**

Corresponding Author: Marianna Marzano

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2022.v18n19p37](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2022.v18n19p37)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Stefan Vladutescu  
University of Craiova, Romania

Reviewer 2: Fernando Paulo Oliveira Magalhaes  
Polytechnic Institute of Leiria, Portugal

Reviewer 3: Georgios Farantos  
University of Peloponnese, Korinthos, Greece

-----  
Reviewer A:  
Recommendation: Revisions Required  
-----

**The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.**

Yes

**The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.**

Yes, It's clear.

**There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.**

There are some language errors, perhaps due to the translation. English revision is suggested.

**The study METHODS are explained clearly.**

Yes. It's ok.

**The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.**

Some English errors.

**The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.**

Yes, it is ok.

**The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.**

Yes

*Please rate the TITLE of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

*Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

*Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the METHODS of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the BODY of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

*Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

**Overall Recommendation!!!**

Accepted, minor revision needed

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

We suggest a careful reading of the text and correction of minor English errors.

-----  
-----  
Reviewer B:  
Recommendation: Revisions Required  
-----

**The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.**

The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the paper.

**The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.**

The abstract presents objects, methods and results.

**There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.**

**The study METHODS are explained clearly.**

The study methods are clearly explained.

**The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.**

English language of this manuscript is good.

**The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.**

The conclusions are accurate and supported by the content.

**The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.**

This manuscript does not include in the literature review two interesting contribution in the field

Mackay, R. (2021). An Abrupt and Brutal Audit: An Analysis of the Crisis Management Response of UK Museums and Heritage Attractions to the Covid-19 Pandemic. *Museum International*, 73(3-4), 8-19.

Xu, Y. (2021). Mobility, digital media, and museums: Norwegian museums in the face of COVID-19 pandemic crisis (Master's thesis).

*Please rate the TITLE of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

*Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the METHODS of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the BODY of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

2

## **Overall Recommendation!!!**

Accepted, minor revision needed

## **Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

Review to "ESJ\_Manuscript"

### 1. Positive aspects

"ESJ\_Manuscript" is located as a topic in the area of interest of ESJ.

Judging positively, this manuscript has an interesting research idea. This manuscript is extensive in terms of ideas and bibliography. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the paper. The abstract presents objects, methods and results. The study methods are clearly explained. The conclusions are accurate and supported by the content.

### 2. Negative aspects

2.1. This manuscript does not include in the literature review two interesting contribution in the field

Mackay, R. (2021). An Abrupt and Brutal Audit: An Analysis of the Crisis Management Response of UK Museums and Heritage Attractions to the Covid-19 Pandemic. *Museum International*, 73(3-4), 8-19.

Xu, Y. (2021). Mobility, digital media, and museums: Norwegian museums in the face of COVID-19 pandemic crisis (Master's thesis).

### 3. Conclusion:

"ESJ\_Manuscript" requires minor additions:

- a) to complete the literature review with the contributions above mentioned.
- b) analyzing the possibility of introducing a section on future research with a little explanation; I would suggest the phrase about future research at the end of the manuscript be developed as a section on future research.

-----