

Paper: **“Russia’s Invasion of Ukraine and its Impact on Global Geopolitics”**

**Submitted: 16 May 2022**

**Accepted: 02 June 2022**

**Published: 30 June 2022**

Corresponding Author: Manotar Tampubolon

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2022.v18n20p48](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2022.v18n20p48)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Markella Koutsouradi

University of the Aegean, Greece

Reviewer 2: Amarjit Singh

Kurukshetra University, India

## ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2022

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

***ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!***

Reviewer Name: Dr. Amarjit Singh	
University/Country :Kurukshetra University, INDIA	
Date Manuscript Received: 17-05-2022	Date Review Report Submitted: 26-05-2022
Manuscript Title: Russia's Invasion of Ukraine and its Impact on Global Geopolitics	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0550/22	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

**Evaluation Criteria:**

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	<b>05</b>
The title of the Research Paper is clear and is apt to the text of the paper. The research paper has adequately defined the concept and nature of Global Geopolitics.	
<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>	<b>04</b>
The author of the Research Paper has vividly presented the objectives and research methods of the paper in the abstract itself. The findings of the research paper have also been clearly commented upon by the author in the abstract.	
<b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	<b>05</b>
The language of the Research Paper is clear and up to the mark. The entire text of the Research Paper is free from any grammatical error and there are no spelling mistakes.	
<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	<b>05</b>
The author of the paper has applied proper research methodology. The author has adopted the empirical as well as analytical research methodology. The introduction and meaning of the geopolitics, historical roots of conflicts between Russia and Ukraine, geopolitical impacts of this war of 2022 and the conclusions of the research paper have been clearly categorized by the author.	
<b>5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.</b>	<b>05</b>
The results of the research paper are adequately clear. The impacts on oil market, impacts on finance market, impacts on global food resources and the overall global geopolitical impacts of Russia's Invasion of Ukraine have been clearly examined by the author. The paper does not contain any error.	

<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>05</b>
The conclusions drawn by the author are accurate and are fully supported by the contents of the research paper.	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	<b>05</b>
The references are comprehensive and adequately appropriate. The author has consulted nearly all the published sources on this subject.	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<b>X</b>
Accepted, minor revision needed	<b>Nil</b>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<b>Nil</b>
Reject	<b>Nil</b>

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):** **NIL**

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:** **The Research Paper is adequately good in all aspects. The scientific validity and technical quality of the paper is appropriate. It is recommended that the Research Paper may be accepted to be published in the prestigious European Scientific Journal ESJ.**

# ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2022

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

*ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!*

Reviewer Name: Markella Koutsouradi	
University/Country: University of the Aegean/ Greece	
Date Manuscript Received: 19/5/2022	Date Review Report Submitted:
Manuscript Title: <b>Russia's Invasion of Ukraine and its Impact on Global Geopolitics</b>	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0550/22	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

## Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
<b>1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>Intriguing and exact title.</i>	

<b>2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>I would recommend the author write about the aim of the paper as well as its focal point.</i>	
<b>3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>Very few but I would recommend a further proofreading.</i>	
<b>4. The study methods are explained clearly.</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>By collecting information and re-composing it in a scholarly way. However, I would recommend the author move the section of methods right after the Introduction, while the section of "What is Geopolitics" needs a bit further elaboration.</i>	
<b>5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>The conclusion indeed gives a wrapping-up of the whole paper in the best possible way.</i>	
<b>6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.</b>	<b>4</b>
<i>The conclusion indeed gives a wrapping-up of the whole paper in the best possible way.</i>	
<b>7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>Very accurate</i>	

**Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accepted, minor revision needed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

It is an excellent International-Relations paper, with information and reviews that scrutinizes a burning issue.

**Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**