

Paper: **“AFRICOM and the Burdens of Securitisation in Africa”**

Submitted: 06 April 2022

Accepted: 31 May 2022

Published: 30 June 2022

Corresponding Author: Kiale Nyiayaana

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2022.v18n20p190](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2022.v18n20p190)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Farid Samir Benavides Vanegas
Catholic University of Colombia, Colombia

Reviewer 2: Blinded

Reviewer A:
Recommendation: Accept Submission

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

Yes

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

Yes but a little vague

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

VG

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

Analytical and descriptive

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

Clear and objective

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

Yes

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

Important references are missing

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, no revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Reviewer D:
Recommendation: Accept Submission

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

Yes. It is a clear title that indicates the content of the paper

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

Yes. It is clear about the content and the goals of the paper. It does not describe methodologies. The authors combine thematic, content and historical methods of data analysis to interpret and analyse the nature, role and challenges of AFRICOM in the governance of security in the continent.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

I have seen none.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

Yes, there is a chapter dedicated to that.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

Yes, it is clear.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

Yes. It is comprehensive and is supported by the content of the paper.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

Yes, it is . It deals with the most relevant texts in the field.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, no revision needed