The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

No comments

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

No comments

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

Abstract:
Line 1- Aim of the study: -it´s better- The aim of the study:
Introduction-
Line 3- resorption, and bone apposition, orthodontic movement of the teeth is- it's better- resorption and bone apposition, orthodontic movement of the teeth is
Line 9- as enamel demineralization, cavities, periodontal inflammation, and even - it's better as enamel demineralization, cavities, periodontal inflammation and even
Line 13- objectives, and the patient's compliance (Sayers et al., 2007). Different - it's better objectives and the patient's compliance (Sayers et al., 2007). Different
Methods
Line 4-such as gingival recession or bone loss. Patients with severe craniofacial- it's better- such as gingival recession or bone loss. The patients with severe craniofacial
Line 15- Patients were divided into 2 equal groups, the first group including- it's better- The patients were divided into 2 equal groups, the first group including
Line 21- each tooth, subsequently being measured the root length from the ECJ to the- it's better- each tooth, subsequently being measured the root length from the CEJ to the
Discussion
Line 1- Resorption and bone apposition cause dental displacement during- it's better- The resorption and bone apposition cause dental displacement during
Line 11- Canines had the largest root length in both the maxillary and- it's better- The canines had the largest root length in both the maxillary and
Line 31- Root resorption is a typical adverse effect that can develop following- it's better- The root resorption is a typical adverse effect that can develop following
Line 47- resorption during orthodontic treatment, several biological, mechanical, and- it's better. resorption during orthodontic treatment, several biological, mechanical and

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

No comments

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

No comments

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

No comments

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

No comments

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Reviewer F:
Recommendation: Accept Submission

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

the title is clear and is adequate to the content of the article

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

the abstract clearly presents objects , methods an results

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

the study methods are explained clearly

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

the body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

the conclusion or summary is accurate and supported be the content

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

the list of references is comprehensive and appropriate

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Reviewer G:
Recommendation: See Comments

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

Sure

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

Sure

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

Right

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

Ok

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

Off course

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

Right

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

Right

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Overall Recommendation!!!

Return for major revision and resubmission

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):