

Paper: **“Travel Motivations of Educational Tourists Arriving in Georgia”**

Submitted: 17 May 2022

Accepted: 05 July 2022

Published: 31 July 2022

Corresponding Author: Ana Gvaramadze

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2022.v18n22p73](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2022.v18n22p73)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Blinded

Reviewer 2: Blinded

Reviewer H:
Recommendation: Revisions Required

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

yes

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

English editing is necessary. in the abstract is stated that paper using qualitative as well as quantitative methodology; however only quantitative is reported in the paper.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

yes,
paper definitely needs editing and proofreading.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

The methodology needs clarification. - The scaled chosen for the paper are not explained from which source are derived.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

needs editing.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

is not comprehensive. needs explanation demonstrating the similar and non-similar LR to proof their claims.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

paper need more citations and references.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

2

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

- The introduction and Literature Review part are combined. It is necessary that paper first give introduction and explain the problem statement and then goes to LR. Now we can not see a good flow there.
- LR part is mostly dedicated to some definitions and random papers in tourism motivation. Systematic way of reviewing and a scenario for choosing the papers and relating them to each other is required which can not be seen at the moment.
- Number of the papers addressed is scare. It is expected that a paper give insight about a chosen topic and that can be meet by acceptable number of citations provided.
- Grammar and editing required
- The scaled chosen for the paper are not explained from which source are derived.
