Paper: **"The Interaction between Virtual Reality Glasses Tracking Types and Cognitive Style to Develop English Vocabulary Skills and Immersion among Primary Stages Pupils with Learning Difficulties"**

Corresponding Author: Marwa Ahmed Hussien

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Tarek Hegazy
EELU, Egypt

Reviewer 2: Saltanat Meiramova
S.Seifullin AgroTechnical University, Kazakhstan

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

yes

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

yes

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

Text need to be plagiarized check

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

In the results you talked about English vocabulary skills only
References need to justified with APA style & update

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

Text need to be plagiarized check

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

In the results you talked about English vocabulary skills only

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

References need to justified with APA style & update

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

2

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

2

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

2

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

2

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

2

Overall Recommendation!!!

Return for major revision and resubmission

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

the researchers need to restructure the paper according to the scientific method , and i added the changes in the word file

----

Reviewer C:
Recommendation: Accept Submission

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

Yes, it is.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

The abstract provides clearly objects, methods, and results.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

N/A

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

Yes, it is explained clearly regarding six instruments which were utilized in the research process, and the test reported in the results afterwards.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

N/A

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The topic is very interesting and useful for the young researchers and teachers to be used in their experience and teaching process.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

yes, it is.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, no revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):