

Paper: “The Foreign Policy of Donald Trump’s Administration in Transcaucasia”

Submitted: 02 May 2022

Accepted: 28 June 2022

Published: 31 July 2022

Corresponding Author: Marine Changiani

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2022.v18n23p52](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2022.v18n23p52)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: A.K.J.S. Kumara
University of Peradeniya, Sri Lanka

Reviewer 2: A. Sait Sönmez
Independent Researcher, Turkey

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2022

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Dr. A. Sait Sönmez	
University/Country: Independent Researcher/Turkey	
Date Manuscript Received: June 22, 2022	Date Review Report Submitted: June 23, 2022
Manuscript Title:	
ESJ Manuscript Number: The Foreign Policy of Donald Trump's Administration in Transcaucasia	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5

<i>There is an excellent coherence between title and the content.</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	5
<i>There is no problem about these issues.</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>It is normal except for natives.</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
<i>They are explained at necessary level.</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	4
<i>Result is clear but it could be better.</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
<i>There is no problem in the summary part.</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	3
<i>There should be much resources about this subject</i>	

Overall Recommendation(mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	X
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

It would be better if you researched historical background of the US bilateral relations with these three countries. You focused on economic and military issues but political dimension is also important. If you had written a subtitle about political relations it would have been better. But none the less it is good study for a phd candidate student.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2022

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Dr. A.K.J.S.Kumara	
University/Country: Sri Lanka	
Date Manuscript Received: 22/06/2022	Date Review Report Submitted: 23/06/2022
Manuscript Title:	
ESJ Manuscript Number: The Foreign Policy of Donald Trump's Administration in Transcaucasia	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	04
The title of manuscript is clear	

2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	04
The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results are satisfactory	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	04
Needed to revisit for the grammatical errors and spelling mistakes	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	04
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	03
The author can develop the findings and discussion part of the manuscript	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	04
Satisfactory	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	04
Satisfactory	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	-
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	-
Reject	-

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

1. Please develop the findings and discussion part of your manuscript
2. Recheck the language

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

Accept this manuscript with minor revisions