

Paper: “Analyse des Facteurs Influençant les Contributions des Populations Rurales Camerounaises au Developpement Local”

Submitted: 04 May 2022

Accepted: 27 June 2022

Published: 31 July 2022

Corresponding Author: Kwangue Voumo Corinne Daviette

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2022.v18n23p112](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2022.v18n23p112)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Muna Ibrahim
Université de Dschang, Cameroon

Reviewer 2: Yabi Hervé
Université d'Abomey-Calavi, Bénin

Reviewer N:
Recommendation: Accept Submission

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The article is relevant to the present context of rural development. It is well written and has fulfilled the scientific norms to be published in European Scientific journal. It can be published with minor corrections in format. See highlights on page 20. Join table three into a single page if possible. The article is a bit long but the publishers can reduce the size format. It is of acceptable standard and can be published.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

The abstract presented the objectives, methodology and the major results from the research. It also presented some pertinent recommendations derived from the results.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

There are some minor grammatical errors highlighted on page 20.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

The methods are clearly explained and justified how the study was carried out. The method used corresponds with the objectives of the study.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

The body of the paper is clear and contains two minor grammatical errors highlighted in page 20.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The conclusion is correct and supported by the content of the paper.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

The list of references is clear and appropriate. It is very detailed and had covered all aspects of the study.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

The paper is good and the research theme is relevant to rural development. Keep your paper size moderate not too long and edit your language properly before sending your manuscript for publication.

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2022

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

| | |
|---|--|
| Reviewer Name: YABI Hervé | |
| University/Country: Université d'Abomey-Calavi (Bénin) | |
| Date Manuscript Received:06/05/2022 | Date Review Report Submitted: 09/05/2022 |
| Manuscript Title: ANALYSE DES FACTEURS INFLUENÇANT LES CONTRIBUTIONS DES POPULATIONS RURALES CAMEROUNAISES AU DEVELOPPEMENT LOCAL | |
| ESJ Manuscript Number: 15369 | |
| You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes | |
| You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes | |
| You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes | |

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

| <i>Questions</i> | <i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent] |
|--|--|
| 1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article. | 5 |

| | |
|--|----------|
| <i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Le titre est adapté au contenu | |
| 2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results. | 2 |
| <i>(Please insert your comments)</i> L'auteur a manqué de préciser comment il a choisi les ménages enquêtés et comment il a traité les données . collectées sur le terrain. Il a manqué aussi d'aborder profondément les obstacles qui freinent la contribution des populations rurales au développement local avant de faire des recommandations ou propositions pour une meilleure contribution des populations rurales au développement local dans le secteur de recherche. | |
| 3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article. | 3 |
| <i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Un effort est fait. Toutefois, il y a des erreurs de grammaire, d'orthographe. Mauvaise usage des connecteurs logiques. | |
| 4. The study methods are explained clearly. | 3 |
| <i>(Please insert your comments)</i> C'est pas mal mais le choix de l'échantillon pose encore problème. L'échantionnage reste encore flou. Il a dit ceci dans le résumé " Un total de 173 et 100 personnes ont été enquêtées respectivement dans les régions de l'Ouest et du Littoral,". Dans la partie méthodologique du corps de texte, il dit ceci « 300 enquêtés répartis en trois selon le nombre de Commune. Mais dans la Commune de Nkong-ni, il a finalement été enquêté 73 individus pour les 100 prévus au départ. pourquoi cette contradiction ici. parfois il parle d'arrondissement, tant têt c'est Commune ou de région. qu'est ce qu'on choisit? | |
| 5. The results are clear and do not contain errors. | 3 |
| <i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Le développement est fait dans le cadre du sujet. Mais il doit présenter de façon séparée les résultats et la discussion pour ce travail. Il va présenter d'abord les résultats et puis créer une rubrique de discussio à part. | |
| 6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content. | 3 |
| <i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Un effort est fait mais l'auteur n'a pas faire une ouverture. Il veut finir avec la recherché. Il doit faire les propositions pour une meilleure contribution des populations rurales au développement local avant la conclusion. Il doit éviter la combinaison des deux. il faut les séparer. | |
| 7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate. | 3 |
| <i>(Please insert your comments)</i> | |

L'auteur doit présenter les auteurs cités en précisant les noms et prénoms.

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

| | |
|--|--|
| Accepted, no revision needed | |
| Accepted, minor revision needed | |
| Return for major revision and resubmission | |
| Reject | |

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: