Paper: **"Dental Approach to the Hypertensive Patient: Literature Review"**

Corresponding Author: Cristhiane Amaral

Peer review:

Reviewer G:

Recommendation: Revisions Required

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

yes, it is ok.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

yes, it is ok.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

yes, there is a need for an English review.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

this section needs more work, it should be clear and detailed about article selection and inclusion and exclusion criteria, also how the included articles were selected, and there should be a description of the strength of selected articles.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

some errors regarding references and format

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

it is recommendations more than conclusions, conclusion must answer the questions of the research. it needs to be modified and include the limitation of this study.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

references need to be updated at least within the last 5 years.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

2

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

2

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

the article idea is good in general and the topic is very important, it needs to make the methods more clear and more detailed even if it is a narrative review .please do the required correction.