

**Paper: “Evaluation de la qualité botanique, physicochimique, phytochimique et de l’activité anti-radicalaire de la pulpe de fruits des échantillons de Ziziphus mauritiana Lam (Rhamnaceae), récoltés au Mali”**

**Submitted: 19 March 2022**

**Accepted: 26 July 2022**

**Published: 31 July 2022**

Corresponding Author: Mamadou Lamine Diarra

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2022.v18n24p209](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2022.v18n24p209)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Patricia Randrianavony  
University of Antananarivo, Madagascar

Reviewer 2: Esseh Komlavi  
University of Lome, Togo

-----  
Reviewer F:  
Recommendation: Accept Submission  
-----

**The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.**

TITLE is clear and adequate to the content of the article

**The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.**

ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results

**There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.**

few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes are found in this article

**The study METHODS are explained clearly.**

METHODS used in this work are explained clearly

**The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.**

body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors, apart from few grammatical mistakes

**The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.**

The CONCLUSION is accurate and supported by the content

**The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.**

list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate  
citations in-text are included in the list of references and vice versa  
writing of references in the list should be uniform

*Please rate the TITLE of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

*Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the METHODS of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the BODY of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

**Overall Recommendation!!!**

Accepted, minor revision needed

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

-----

-----  
Reviewer K:

Recommendation: Accept Submission

-----

**The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.**

oui le titre est clair

**The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.**

oui mais il reste des méthodes à bien énoncer

**There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.**

Oui

**The study METHODS are explained clearly.**

Oui

**The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.**

Oui

**The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.**

Oui

**The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.**

Oui

*Please rate the TITLE of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the METHODS of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the BODY of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

**Overall Recommendation!!!**

Accepted, minor revision needed

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

-----