

Paper: “Use of GIS as a Tool for Integrated Water Resources Management in the City of Parakou, Benin”

Submitted: 18 April 2022

Accepted: 21 July 2022

Published: 31 July 2022

Corresponding Author: Cômplan Charles Hounton

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2022.v18n24p263](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2022.v18n24p263)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Blinded

Reviewer 2: Abdoulaye Faty

Reviewer 3: Soumaila Kanga

Hassan II Institute of Agronomy & Veterinary Medicine, Madinat Al Irfane, Rabat, Morocco

Reviewer G:
Recommendation: Resubmit for Review

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

the authors have used very simple and precise words and have established the correspondence between the title of the article and its content

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

In the abstract, the authors should use sufficiently precise words to explain the objective of the study and notwithstanding, the methodology should be clear, precise.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

The methodology is clear and understandable. It is recommended that the authors provide some additional information to clarify the processing of rainfall data and the socio-economic survey method

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

Yes

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

Yes

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

Yes

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Reviewer H:
Recommendation: Revisions Required

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

Can be further improved

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

Can be further improved

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

Can be further improved

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

Can be further improved

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

Can be further improved

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

Can be further improved

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

Can be further improved

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

2

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

This paper can be accepted after advanced proofreading of English. The introduction needs to be better developed by adding other international studies. Discussion section can be improved. The result contains few novel information, needs to be improved by getting into details.
