

Paper: “Comportement de Butinage de Trois Abeilles Sauvages (*Amegilla* sp., *Sphecodes albilabris* et *Lasioglossum albipes*) sur les Fleurs Mâles de *Zea mays* (*Poaceae*) à Maroua, Cameroun”

Submitted: 10 March 2022

Accepted: 05 August 2022

Published: 31 August 2022

Corresponding Author: Joseph Blaise Pando

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2022.v18n27p12](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2022.v18n27p12)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: N'DA Hugues Annicet
Centre National de Recherche Agronomique, Côte d'Ivoire

Reviewer 2: Messop Youbi Edith Blandine
University of Buea, Cameroon

Reviewer 3: Nat Dounia
Université de Yaoundé I, Cameroun

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Pr DOUNIA	
University/Country: Université de Yaoundé I, Cameroun	
Date Manuscript Received: 24/03/2022	Date Review Report Submitted: 29/03/2022
Manuscript Title: Comportement de butinage de trois abeilles sauvages (<i>Amegilla</i> sp., <i>Sphecodes albilabris</i> et <i>Lasioglossum albipes</i>) sur les fleurs mâles de <i>Zea mays</i> (Poaceae) à Maroua, Cameroun	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 55.03.2022	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

	Rating Result
Questions	[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
<i>(Bien adapté)</i>	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4
<i>(Bien clair)</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3
<i>(integer les corrections et relire encore l'article)</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
<i>(Bien détaillé)</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	4
<i>(Pas d'erreur les statistiques sont bien indiqués)</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
<i>(correcte)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	3
<i>(voir les suggestions)</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accepted, minor revision needed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s) : bon travail surtout beaucoup de courage l'étho écologie est claire

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Messop Youbi Edith Blandine	
University/Country: University of Buea/Cameroon	
Date Manuscript Received: 05/05/2022	Date Review Report Submitted: 16/05/2022
Manuscript Title: Comportement de butinage de trois abeilles sauvages (<i>Amegilla</i> sp., <i>Sphecodes albilabris</i> et <i>Lasioglossum albipes</i>) sur les fleurs mâles de <i>Zea mays</i> (Poaceae) à Maroua, Cameroun	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0355/22	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i>
	[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> The title is in good adequation with the contain of the article and from this title we clearly understand everything.	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	3
<i>The abstract especially the English version was not the best, the author need to insert the corrections were needed.</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>My worry was for the English version of the abstract, they are so many things to correct. About the French version. They are few corrections to be done.</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	5
<i>Study methods are explained clearly and could be easily applied by another author who intend to work on the same field</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	4
<i>The results are excellent. I had nothing to add in there.</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
The conclusions are good and support the content of the article.	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> <i>The references are well written and organized</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accepted, minor revision needed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

The author needs to carefully read the manuscript and correct the few errors in there especially for the English version of the abstract.

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: N'DA Hugues Annicet	
University/Country: Centre National de Recherche Agronomique/Côte d'Ivoire	
Date Manuscript Received: 14/07/2022	Date Review Report Submitted: 22/07/2022
Manuscript Title: Comportement de butinage de trois abeilles sauvages (<i>Amegilla</i> sp., <i>Sphecodes albilabris</i> et <i>Lasioglossum albipes</i>) sur les fleurs mâles de <i>Zea mays</i> (Poaceae) à Maroua, Cameroun	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 55032022	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i>
	[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> The title with the content of the manuscript	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> The method and the results have been clearly defined. on the other hand, the objective does not appear clearly	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accepted, minor revision needed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

The manuscript can be published after minor corrections by the author