

Paper: **“Violence Obstetricale dans la Ville de Tanguieta au Benin en 2019”**

Submitted: 31 June 2022

Accepted: 29 July 2022

Published: 31 August 2022

Corresponding Author: Atade Sèdjro Raoul

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2022.v18n27p387](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2022.v18n27p387)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: AGBESSI L. Nadege A. M.
(University of Parakou, Benin)

Reviewer 2: OGOUDJOBI O. Mathieu
(Universite d’Abomey-Calavi (UAC), Benin)

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: AGBESSI L. Nadege A. M.	
University/Country: University of Parakou (Benin)	
Date Manuscript Received:04/08/2022	Date Review Report Submitted:
Manuscript Title: VIOLENCE OBSTETRICAL DANS LA VILLE DE TANGUIETA AU BENIN EN 2019	
ESJ Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the “review history” of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4

the title is clear and in line with the content of article	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4
yes, the summary is well structured	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3
some grammatical and spelling errors to be corrected	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
the methods were well presented and understandable to the reader	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	4
the results were well schematized, easy to understand	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
yes	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	3
the bibliographic references need to be revised, especially in terms of formatting and writing them in the article	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	<input type="checkbox"/>
Accepted, minor revision needed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Return for major revision and resubmission	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reject	<input type="checkbox"/>

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Your article is generally acceptable for publication but there are still minor corrections to be made.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

The article can be published if the author incorporates the corrections.



ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2021

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: OGOUDJOBI O. Mathieu	
University/Country: UNIVERSITE D'ABOMEY-CALAVI (UAC) / BENIN	
Date Manuscript Received: 04/10/2021	Date Review Report Submitted: 13/10/2021
Manuscript Title: VIOLENCE OBSTETRICALE DANS LA VILLE DE TANGUIETA AU BENIN EN 2019	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 02.09.2021	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Le titre est clair et conforme avec le contenu	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Le résumé a clairement ressorti l'objectif et les résultats les plus importants. La méthodologie est à clarifier, notamment au niveau de l'échantillonnage.	

3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Le texte est bien rédigé, compréhensible avec très peu de fautes Quelques reformulations sont proposées à certains niveaux.	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> La méthode d'étude est adaptée et pertinente, mais n'est pas bien clarifiée, notamment pour ce qui concerne l'échantillonnage et les aspects éthiques	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	4
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Les résultats sont clairs et compréhensibles, mais il est nécessaire de revoir certains pourcentages pour avoir les 100% au niveau du tableau I	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> La conclusion est trop détaillée et trop longue. Il est souhaitable de ressortir en une ou deux phrases les éléments les plus importants retrouvés sur la violence obstétricale dans l'étude. Ensuite une phrase pour la recommandation la plus pertinente	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Les références sont appropriées, mais certaines sont incomplètes	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	X
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Il s'agit d'une étude originale et pertinente avec une méthodologie adaptée. Les résultats sont clairs et posent le problème du comportement du personnel soignant face aux patientes dans nos maternités africaines. Des améliorations mineures sont proposées.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

Le manuscrit est acceptable pour publication après intégration des différentes observations.