

**Paper: “Is Narayan’s Bharati a Crocus of an Ideal Indian Woman?”**

**Submitted: 23 March 2022**

**Accepted: 09 June 2022**

**Published: 31 August 2022**

Corresponding Author: Reshma Tabassum

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2022.v18n26p34

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Elif Sesen  
Nigde Omer Halisdemir University, Turkey

Reviewer 2: Michele Russo  
University of Catanzaro, Italy

Reviewer 3: Blinded

-----  
Reviewer B:  
Recommendation: Accept Submission  
-----

**The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.**

The title of the article is pertinent and foreshadows the issues which are analysed well.

**The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.**

The abstract provides insight into the main themes and issues of the article. It sums up effectively women issues and the relationship between Indian women and writing.

**There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.**

The article is correct and sentences are clear.

**The study METHODS are explained clearly.**

The methods are correct. The article analyses the problems of identity and emancipation, and applies such concepts to the specific case which is discussed in the work.

**The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.**

The body of the paper is clear and correct.

**The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.**

The conclusion gives insight into the main issues which are discussed in the work. It is clear and sums up the main points discussed in the article.

**The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.**

References are updated and pertinent.

*Please rate the TITLE of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

*Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

*Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the METHODS of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

*Please rate the BODY of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

*Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

*Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

**Overall Recommendation!!!**

Accepted, no revision needed

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

-----

-----  
Reviewer D:

Recommendation: Accept Submission

-----

**The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.**

The title represents the content of the study.

**The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.**

The abstract is enough.

**There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.**

There are a few grammatical errors in the study.

**The study METHODS are explained clearly.**

There is no a clear method but it is acceptable those kind of articles.

**The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.**

The general body of the paper is clear and acceptable.

**The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.**

There is no a separate conclusion part.

**The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.**

The references is appropriate.

*Please rate the TITLE of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the METHODS of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

2

*Please rate the BODY of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

**Overall Recommendation!!!**

Accepted, minor revision needed

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

-----