

Paper: “Child Recruitment and Use during Armed Conflicts by Muslims between International Law and Islamic Law”

Submitted: 04 April 2022

Accepted: 08 August 2022

Published: 31 August 2022

Corresponding Author: Rebaz R. Khdir

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2022.v18n26p108](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2022.v18n26p108)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Redar Bakr Ahmed
University of Raparin, Iraq

Reviewer 2: Blinded

Reviewer 3: Blinded

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2022

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Redar Bakr Ahmed	
University/Country: University of Raparin / Iraq	
Date Manuscript Received: 28-06-2022	Date Review Report Submitted: 02-07-2022
Manuscript Title: Child Recruitment and Use during Armed Conflicts by Muslims between International Law and Islamic Law	
ESJ Manuscript Number:	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
<i>Yes clear, we can say that this title can cover all the article fully</i>	

2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	3
<i>Overall, the abstract is good. But there are some shortcomings, including the lack of the main categories of the abstract. What does the researcher do to get the results? And what conclusion has he reached? Therefore, the summary of the research should include the whole research to be clear to the readers.</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
<i>The grammar and spelling of the article is generally good, academic and clear but there are some place need to put space between paragraphs.</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	2.5
<i>The researcher used analyzes method. however, this method not enough to get the result I thought that researcher could use comparative method with analysis method.</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	4
<i>The article has got a good results especially about Islamic basic law in Quran in addition, should be clean isn't Islamic law allow Child Recruitment or not?</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
<i>Yes of course the researcher has putted very good evidence and international law and convention on the child recruitment and use</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	5
<i>The references consist of primary and secondary and generally reliable</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	x
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

I suggest the author not to mention any data information in the summary because in that case it needs to be referenced. You can also use general information in an abstract way.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

Although the subject of the manuscript is important, it needs more attention nowadays. The article will also become more important if the comments and suggestions given to the author are corrected and considered.