

Paper: “La Pérennité Concurrentielle de la Petite et Moyenne Entreprise Camerounaise: Enjeux, Défis et Perspectives”

Submitted: 04 June 2022

Accepted: 11 August 2022

Published: 31 August 2022

Corresponding Author: Gnigninikoup Issofa

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2022.v18n26p145](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2022.v18n26p145)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Adriana Burlea-Schiopoiu
University of Craiova, Romania

Reviewer 2: Dudjo Yen Boris Gildas

Reviewer 3: Blinded

Reviewer B:
Recommendation: Revisions Required

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The title is sufficient

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

- The abstract averagely engaged the reader.
- The contribution was not in alignment with the research findings.
- The abstract can be improved by ensuring there is consistency in methodology adopted in the research.
- The contribution should be from the research findings and not a standalone comment.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

No grammatical errors and spelling mistakes

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

The research approach should include the methods employed as well as a real dialog between literature and methods.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

The paper is clear.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The conclusions are not supported by the research data, which does not indicate a clearer path for future studies on the topic.

A follow-up of restate findings/results with supporting literature reviews could make the conclusion section more effective.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

Yes

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

2

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

See above

Reviewer H:
Recommendation: Accept Submission

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

Pérennité Concurrentielle de la PME Camerounaise

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

- Remis avec le texte en 02 langues (Français, Anglais)
- Concorde avec l'article, clair...
- Longueur conforme (> 250 mots)

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

méthode clairement expliquée

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

Méthode clairement définie

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

le vocabulaire est acceptable avec un style moins lourd et peu de faute et quelques petites contradictions

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

c'est une Analyse

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

elle semble être complète

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

2

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

2

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

article pour publication
