

**Paper: “Facteurs Géographiques Explicatifs des Inondations en Milieu Urbain:
Cas du Bassin Versant du Cours d’eau Goley a Sinfra (Centre-Ouest Côte
d’Ivoire)”**

Submitted: 23 June 2022

Accepted: 03 August 2022

Published: 31 August 2022

Corresponding Author: N'Guessan Simon Andon

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2022.v18n26p163

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Coffie Francis-josé N'guessan
Université Félix Houphouet-Boigny, Côte d'Ivoire

Reviewer 2: Mballo Ibrahima
Université Cheikh Anta Diop (UCAD), Dakar, Sénégal

Reviewer 3: Blinded

Reviewer J:
Recommendation: Revisions Required

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The title of article is clear and the contents is adequate.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

The methods and results are presented. But the objects are not in the abstract.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

there are little errors of this type.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

The methods are explained clearly. But the sampling method is needed and some claculs need to be presented not in the results but in the methods.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

The body of the paper is clear. But the paper need to present a section on the literature review. And the introduction should expose the structure of the paper.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The conclusion is clear and accurate.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

The Author Dollfus cited in the references is not in the paper. The references is not ordered alphabetically conveniently. The references should be improved with recent papers.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

2

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

This paper is interesting but the authors need to reorganize the paper by including a literature review, by announcing at the end of the introduction the structure of the paper, by including in the methods, the sampling method. The references should be improved with recent and more scientific papers.

Reviewer L:

Recommendation: Resubmit for Review

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

Le titre de l'article ne reflète pas le corps du texte et le but de l'article. Il doit être adapté et reformulé pour une meilleure compréhension et une prise parfaite de la problématique abordée.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

Le résumé ne ressort pas tous les éléments dont le but, la méthodologie doit être clairement définie et les résultats succinctement présentés.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

Il y a des phrases difficiles à comprendre, le sens n'est pas clair. En plus de ça, la syntaxe n'est pas souvent respectée et les répétitions sont importantes dans le texte.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

La méthodologie reste à mieux définir, donner le type d'approche, expliquer les méthodes de collecte, dire s'il y a des corrections apportées sur les images etc. Éviter de disséminer dans tout le document les éléments de la méthodologie.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

Le corps du texte est à revoir. La rédaction doit être scientifique avec le respect des normes.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

Elle est juste longue, elle mérite une synthèse avec une belle perspective.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

Les références sont à développer et actualiser

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

2

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

2

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

2

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

2

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Merci d'intégrer les observations pour la qualité du papier
Merci de veiller sur les normes de la revue
