

**Paper: “Possibilities of Nursing High Education in the Context of COVID-19 - Reality and Perspectives”**

**Submitted: 03 March 2022**

**Accepted: 30 August 2022**

**Published: 30 September 2022**

Corresponding Author: Manana Machitidze

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2022.v18n30p1](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2022.v18n30p1)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Audrey Tolouian  
University of Texas, USA

Reviewer 2: Natalia Durglishvili  
The University of Georgia, Georgia

-----  
Reviewer B:  
Recommendation: Revisions Required  
-----

**The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.**

yes

**The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.**

no, the abstract should reflect the type of article that this is. The abstract lends one to think that it is a research article rather than a review type.

**There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.**

The paper does need a good proof read for grammar.

**The study METHODS are explained clearly.**

no, please include search methods used and key words

**The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.**

I think this is a nice change of pace for the COVID era- looking at some of the good. It just needs to be written into the proper format.

This website may help a bit : <https://www.goodreports.org/reporting-checklists/prisma/>

**The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.**

yes

**The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.**

yes

*Please rate the TITLE of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

*Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

2

*Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the METHODS of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the BODY of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

**Overall Recommendation!!!**

Accepted, minor revision needed

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

The paper is good material- it just needs to be in a better format and have a good edit.

-----

-----  
Reviewer I:  
Recommendation: Accept Submission

-----

**The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.**

Yes

**The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.**

Yes

**There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.**

No

**The study METHODS are explained clearly.**

Yes

**The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.**

Yes

**The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.**

Yes

**The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.**

Yes

*Please rate the TITLE of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

*Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

*Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the METHODS of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

*Please rate the BODY of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

*Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

**Overall Recommendation!!!**

Accepted, no revision needed

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

The paper actual topics and good material from publishing.

-----