

Paper: “Oral Health Care of People with Disabilities - A Challenge in Dental Education in Georgia”

Submitted: 09 March 2022

Accepted: 09 September 2022

Published: 30 September 2022

Corresponding Author: Elene Gigineishvili

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2022.v18n30p35

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Rusudan Ivanishvili
The University of Georgia, Georgia

Reviewer 2: Adriana Gherbon
University of Medicine and Pharmacy Timisoara, Romania

Reviewer 3: Blinded

Reviewer A:
Recommendation: Revisions Required

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

No comment

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

The abstract doesn't clearly present all the objects of the study. Methods and results are not fully presented.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

Abstract

Line 2-According to international studies- it's better According to the international studies

Line 4-Treatment of each person - it's better- The treatment of each person.

Methods:

Line 1-First part of our research- it's better- The first part of our research.

Line 16-As part of the qualitative research, deep interviews were conducted with people with disabilities, dentists, and managers of dental clinics.-it's better-As a part of the qualitative research people with disabilities, dentists, and managers of dental clinics were interviewed thoroughly.

Conclusions:

Line 1-As a result of conducted research- it's better- As a result of the conducted research

Line 11-Maximum involvement and awareness of family members or guardians about mastering routine oral care habits of people with disabilities and their use are essential- it's better-Maximum involvement and awareness of family members or guardians about mastering routine oral care habits of people with disabilities are essential.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

No comment

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

The body of the paper is clear and satisfactory

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

It's preferable in recommendations to emphasize the importance of the necessity of special training courses for practitioner dentists.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

no comment

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

The topic of the study is very important and essential. The article is really interesting and the work is satisfactory.

I hope the results of the study will improve the quality of dental services for people with disabilities.

I consider these recommendations will be helpful.

Reviewer L:

Recommendation: Revisions Required

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

Please put the percentage in Figure 1. Also put the title of the figures.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The conclusions are too long and contain also the result. Please put a part of them in the discussion part that are too short and limited the phrases of the conclusion.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

2

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):
