

Paper: **“Undescended Testis Revealing Triorchidism in a Child: A Case Report”**

Submitted: 19 March 2022

Accepted: 03 August 2022

Published: 30 September 2022

Corresponding Author: Florent Tshibwid Zeng

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2022.v18n30p108

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Hisham S. Ibrahim Alshaikhli
Auckland University of Technology, New Zeland

Reviewer 2: Oumar Ndour
Pediatric Surgery Department at Aristide Le Dantec UTH, Dakar, Senegal

Reviewer 3: Adriana Gherbon
University of Medicine and Pharmacy Timisoara, Romania

Reviewer 4: Blinded

Reviewer B:
Recommendation: Accept Submission

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

Yes it is adequate and clear to the content

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

It seems good to me, only the author should be clear when using any abbreviation like (US) that mean ultrasound

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling that need to be corrected.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

I suggest that the author put a separate paragraph under the title (Method of operation) after (Observation) title to explain the operation done for the case

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

It is clear to me

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The conclusion is supportive and accurate to me

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

The references are appropriate

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

I suggest that the author put a separate paragraph under the title (Method of operation) after (Observation) title to explain the operation done for the case

Reviewer G:
Recommendation: Accept Submission

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

yes

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

It is a case report

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

no

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

yes

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

yes

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

yes

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

yes

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

3

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, no revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

the keywords do not reflect the clinical case well

Reviewer L:
Recommendation: Revisions Required

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

yes

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

The abstract is unstructured, but presents object and result.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

do not mention.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

The method is case study. There no clear information of exposure, symptoms, signs, medication of the case.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

not contain.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

There no clear authors suggestions, recommendations, treatment options, impact on clinical practice.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

The Polyorchidism is a rare, but in the scientific databases there articles that could be useful for literature review, the article contains 9 references.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

Overall Recommendation!!!

Return for major revision and resubmission

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

There no clear information of exposure, symptoms, signs, medication, follow up of the case. The requirements for case study report are little bit different: must be mentioned patient's ethnicity, inform consent, anonymity and confidentiality. May be, will be better to recommend submit this article to the Journal <https://jmedicalcasereports.biomedcentral.com/>

Reviewer Q:
Recommendation: Revisions Required

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors. In the text you must put the name of the first author and the year, not numbers. (see author s guidelines)

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate. You must put the references without numbers. Reference list must be in alphabetical order by last name of first author.(see author s guidelines)

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, minor revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):
