

**Paper: “Rural Tourism Business as an Economic Instrument for the Development of Economically Backward Regions”**

**Submitted: 18 July 2022**

**Accepted: 21 September 2022**

**Published: 30 September 2022**

Corresponding Author: Salome Bestaeva

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2022.v18n29p1](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2022.v18n29p1)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Ehsaneh Nejad Mohammad Nameghi  
Islamic Azad University, Iran

Reviewer 2: Blinded

Reviewer 3: Blinded

-----  
Reviewer J:  
Recommendation: Revisions Required  
-----

**The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.**

it is clear. however relationship of the title with the manuscript is difficult to understand

**The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.**

Not really. This manuscripts needs overall overlook. The main problem of manuscript is that is provided with some distinct information here and there but you can not see flow in writing and is really hard to follow.

**There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.**

acceptable

**The study METHODS are explained clearly.**

The methodology part need complete explanation. even though you can see that manuscripts has an background effort for conducting a research; it is not properly presented.

**The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.**

it is really hard to follow

**The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.**

The conclusion needs revision. Paper requires overlook. it is really hard to understand based on information provided how and what manuscript wants to offer. the references provided are poor. It is suggested that deep overlooking is necessary. it has the potential to make more effort on it.

**The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.**

it is poor.

*Please rate the TITLE of this paper.*

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

*Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

3

*Please rate the METHODS of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

2

*Please rate the BODY of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

2

*Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

2

*Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.*

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

2

**Overall Recommendation!!**

Return for major revision and resubmission

**Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

-----