

Paper: **“Why Does Fashion Fit China So Much?”**

Submitted: 19 September 2022

Accepted: 24 October 2022

Published: 31 October 2022

Corresponding Author: Luca Scaini

Doi: [10.19044/esj.2022.v18n31p1](https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2022.v18n31p1)

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Emine Koca

Pedagogical University of Simferopol, Russian Federation

Reviewer 2: Elita Alimovna Taymazova

Ankara Haci Bayram Veli University, Turkey

Reviewer 3: Fatma Koç

Gazi University, Turkey

Reviewer A:
Recommendation: Accept Submission

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article,

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results. But, the results should be additional more

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

There are no obvious errors in the article

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

The study METHODS are explained clearly

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

The result can be further supported by the findings.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, no revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Reviewer B:
Recommendation: Accept Submission

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

Yes very intriguing BUT beware of one little mistake: fit and NOT fits! (s is redundant)

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

The abstract follows the most common guidelines and I understand that the work is an exploration.

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

more than tolerable (eg title). English seems clear and correct, the sentences are mostly very fluid. Clearly, the work is written not by an accountant but a social scientist.

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

Very clear. I will be happy to read the quantitative analysis in ssps (mentioned as a future improvement) some day.

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

no errors, and the calculations are ok. the hypothesis-thesis system is well supported.

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

Yes and enlightening about the findings, humbly explaining the limitations and offering a wide choice of hints for future researches.

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

it is really well done and so complete.

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

4

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

5

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, no revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Very good work, please amend the title.

Reviewer C:

Recommendation: Accept Submission

The TITLE is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.

Yes it is clear and adequate to the content of the article

The ABSTRACT clearly presents objects, methods, and results.

yes it is clearly presents objects, methods, and results

There are a few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.

no it is grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article

The study METHODS are explained clearly.

yes

The body of the paper is clear and does not contain errors.

no

The CONCLUSION or summary is accurate and supported by the content.

yes

The list of REFERENCES is comprehensive and appropriate.

yes The list of references is comprehensive and appropriate

Please rate the TITLE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the ABSTRACT of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the LANGUAGE of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the METHODS of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

4

Please rate the BODY of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the CONCLUSION of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

5

Please rate the REFERENCES of this paper.

[Poor] **1-5** [Excellent]

Overall Recommendation!!!

Accepted, no revision needed

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):
