

Paper: “Hygiene Hospitaliere et Prevention des Infections Nosocomiales en Côte d’Ivoire: Cas de l’Hôpital General de Dabou”

Submitted: 12 September 2022

Accepted: 26 October 2022

Published: 31 October 2022

Corresponding Author: Hortense Koffi

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2022.v18n33p264

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Blinded

Reviewer 2: Ayekoe Adou Ignace, Institut National d’Hygiène Publique

Reviewer 3: MAMBA Tchimou Bernard, Université Peleforo GON COULIBALY/
COTE D’IVOIRE

Reviewer 4: Konan Kouadio Ahou Nadège, Université Félix Houphouet-Boigny de
Cocody (Côte d’Ivoire)

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2022

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision. *ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!*

Reviewer Name: AYEKOE ADOU IGNACE	
University/Country: Institut National d'Hygiène Publique	
Date Manuscript Received: 05 october	Date Review Report Submitted: 09 october
Manuscript Title: INFECTION NOSOCOMIALE ET STRATEGIES D'HYGIENE HOSPITALIERE DANS LES HOPITAUX IVOIRIENS : CAS DE L'HOPITAL GENERAL DE DABOU NOSOCOMIAL INFECTION AND HOSPITAL HYGIENE STRATEGIES IN IVORIAN HOSPITALS: CASE OF DABOU GENERAL HOSPITAL	
ESJ Manuscript Number: Paper for review 0976/22	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i>
	[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]

1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	2
Le titre parle de stratégies d'évitement d'infections nosocomiales alors que le contenu de l'article traite de l'hygiène en milieu hospitalier. Les stratégies de prévention des infections nosocomiales vont au-delà de ce qui est présenté dans l'article	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	1
Quel est le problème? Pourquoi voulez vous étudier le mécanisme d'éviter des infections nosocomiales? Quel est l'intérêt de cette étude pour l'hôpital de Dabou? Le problème étudié découle de la réponse aux questions ci-dessous. A partir du problème vous fixerez un objectif clair pour cet article.	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3
Il y a moins de fautes, mais il faut éviter les abréviations (IN= infections nosocomiales)	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	2
La méthode contient trop de détails, aller à l'essentiel pour décrire la méthode et matériel de travail	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	2
Les résultats sont incomplets	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	2
Revoir la discussion et la conclusion en fonction du problème traité et les résultats d'étude	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	2
Les références ne sont pas pertinentes. Revoir votre revue documentaire pour étoffer les références en articles scientifiques qui ont traité le sujet ou une partie du sujet.	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

L'article ne traite pas des infections nosocomiales. Il aborde mieux l'hygiène en milieu hospitalier.

Le problème ou les problèmes qui ont fait l'objet de cette étude ne sont pas posés clairement.

La méthode et matériel d'étude ne sont pas clairement décrits

Les résultats de l'étude sont incomplets et ne portent pas sur les infections nosocomiales. Les personnes interrogées sont uniquement du personnel soignant et leurs retranscrits abordent l'hygiène hospitalière. Il faut faire la part entre infections nosocomiales et hygiène hospitalière.

Les articles présentées dans le travail ne sont pas pertinentes car ils ne traitent clairement le sujet ou une partie du sujet.

La discussion et la conclusion dépendront des corrections qui seront apportées

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2022

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision. *ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!*

Reviewer Name: MAMBA Tchimou Bernard	
University/Country : Université Peleforo GON COULIBALY/ COTE D'IVOIRE	
Date Manuscript Received:23/09/2022	Date Review Report Submitted: 10/10/2022
Manuscript Title: NOSOCOMIAL INFECTION AND HOSPITAL HYGIENE STRATEGIES IN IVORIAN HOSPITALS: THE CASE OF THE DABOU GENERAL HOSPITAL	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0976/22	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes /No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes /No	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes /No	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i> [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	5
<i>The title conforms to the content of the text</i>	

2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	5
<i>(The summary clearly presents the purpose of the study, the data collection methods, and the results)</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3
<i>(The author will have to reread the text to correct spelling errors)</i>	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	3
<i>(Some clarifications must be made at the level of the methods mobilized by the author. or even comments in the text)</i>	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	5
<i>(Les results are clearly presented in the results section)</i>	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	5
<i>(There is a coherence between the conclusion and the text)</i>	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	3
<i>(The author will have to review his bibliographical references. Some authors are not included in the text. Also, it will have to apply the standard of presentation of the bibliographic references of the publisher Please insert your comments)</i>	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation) :

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	x
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

The author must consider the comments to perfect his article. It will have to strengthen the authors mobilized in the discussion. Finally, he must strengthen his discussion and his bibliography.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:

No comments to be made at the editor level.

ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2022

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Konan Kouadio Ahou Nadège	
University/Country: Université Félix Houphouët-Boigny de Cocody (Côte d'Ivoire)	
Date Manuscript Received: 05 10 2022	Date Review Report Submitted: 23 10 2022
<p>Manuscript Title: Infection Nosocomiale Et Stratégies D'hygiène Hospitalière Dans Les Hôpitaux Ivoiriens : Cas De L'hôpital General De Dabou</p> <p>Nosocomial Infection And Hospital Hygiene Strategies In Ivorian Hospitals: Case Of Dabou General Hospital</p>	
ESJ Manuscript Number: 9676	
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes /No	
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes /No	
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes /No	

Evaluation Criteria:

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

<i>Questions</i>	<i>Rating Result</i>
	[Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the	4

article.	
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Le titre est clair et pertinent.	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i>	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	2
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Des fautes d'orthographe, grammaire, style existent	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	2
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> La méthodologie comporte de sérieuses lacunes (voir correction).	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	2
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Les resultats sont clairs mais pa organisés. Et, la discussion est incomplete	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	3
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> La conclusion résume l'essentiel. Toutefois, elle doit faire une ouverture.	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	2
<i>(Please insert your comments)</i> Les references sont mal présentées, à reprendre.	

Overall Recommendation (mark an X with your recommendation):

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):

Sujet original. Des problèmes de méthodologie et d'organisation du travail. Je demanderais aux auteurs de prendre en compte tous mes commentaires et corrections. Je suis prête à discuter avec eux des éléments qu'ils aimeraient comprendre.

Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only: