

Paper: "Development Financier, Diffusion des tic et Croissance Economique dans les pays de l'Uemoa"

Submitted: 05 September 2022 Accepted: 10 November 2022 Published: 30 November 2022

Corresponding Author: Gbame Hervé Daniel

Doi: 10.19044/esj.2022.v18n31p18

Peer review:

Reviewer 1: Blinded

Reviewer 2: Nezha Bousselhami

Abdelmalek Essaadi University, Tangier, Morocco

Reviewer 3: Blinded

Reviewer 4: Loa Rodolph Loa Bi

Institut Universitaire d'Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire

# ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2022

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name: Dr Nezha BOUSSELHAMI			
University/Country: Abdelmalek Essaadi un	iversity- Tangier- Morocco		
Date Manuscript Received: 25/10/2022	Date Review Report Submitted: 31/10/2022		
Manuscript Title: DEVELOPPEMENT FINANCIER, DIFFUSION DES TIC ET CROISSANCE ECONOMIQUE DANS LES PAYS DE L'UEMOA.			
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0959/22			
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes			
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes			
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes			

### **Evaluation Criteria:**

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	Rating Result [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	4

De préférence ajoutez la méthode utilisée dans le titre (exp: PMG)	une étude panel
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	4
oui	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	4
Oui existent mais pas beaucoup	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	4
oui	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	3
Le problème est dans l'analyse des résultats. Parfois il s'agi des signes et signifivativité des coefficients alors qu'il faut es signe est negatif ou positif.	*
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
oui	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	3
Quelques références ne sont pas dans l'ordre alphabétique.	

# **Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation):

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	x
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

# **Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

En général le document est bien, il faut juste tenir en compte les remarques mentionnées (en rouge) dans le texte et commenter les tableaux en annexes relatifs aux résultats des tests. Il faut expliquer ces résultats afin d'argumenter le choix de la méthode utilisée.

## **Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**

# ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2022

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Date Manuscript 27/10/2022	Received:	Date 03/11/2		Report	Submitted:
Manuscript Title:					
ESJ Manuscript Number:					
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: Yes/No					
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No					
You approve, this review report is available in the "review history" of the paper: Yes/No					

#### **Evaluation Criteria:**

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	Rating Result [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	3
The title is clear	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods, and results.	4

The summary translates the content of the document	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	3
(Please insert your comments)	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	3
The methodology used by the authors is adapted	
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	3
The proposed result is edifying.	
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	4
The conclusion contains elements of economic policies	
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	2

# **Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation):

Accepted, no revision needed	
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

# **Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

The article is interesting and can be published. But, it will be necessary to update the references.

# **Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**

The article is interesting and can be published. But, it will be necessary to update the references.

# ESJ Manuscript Evaluation Form 2022

This form is designed to summarize the manuscript peer review that you have completed and to ensure that you have considered all appropriate criteria in your review. Your review should provide a clear statement, to the authors and editors, of the modifications necessary before the paper can be published or the specific reasons for rejection.

Please respond within the appointed time so that we can give the authors timely responses and feedback.

NOTE: ESJ promotes peer review procedure based on scientific validity and technical quality of the paper (not perceived the impact). You are also not required to do proofreading of the paper. It could be recommended as part of the revision.

ESJ editorial office would like to express its special gratitude for your time and efforts. Our editorial team is a substantial reason that stands ESJ out from the crowd!

Reviewer Name:			
University/Country: Institut Universitaire d'Abidjan / Côte d'Ivoire			
Date Manuscript Received:	Date Review Report Submitted:		
Manuscript Title: DEVELOPPEMENT FINANCIER, DIFFUSION DES TIC ET CROISSANCE ECONOMIQUE DANS LES PAYS DE L'UEMOA			
ESJ Manuscript Number: 0959/22			
You agree your name is revealed to the author of the paper: oui			
You approve, your name as a reviewer of this paper, is available in the "review history" of the paper: oui			
You approve, this review report is available in t	he "review history" of the paper: oui		

### **Evaluation Criteria:**

Please give each evaluation item a numeric rating on a 5-point scale, along with a thorough explanation for each point rating.

Questions	Rating Result [Poor] 1-5 [Excellent]	
1. The title is clear and it is adequate to the content of the article.	oui	
Au cours de ces dernières années l'on assiste à une montée du développement financier parallèlement aux TIC. La plus grande partie du financement des		

s'effectue en ligne pour se faire il est crucial d'étudier dans la entre le développement financier, les TIC et la croissance écon	
2. The abstract clearly presents objects, methods and results.	Oui
Le résumé de ce papier respect la démarche scientifique.	
3. There are few grammatical errors and spelling mistakes in this article.	non
Il y a un effort grammatical remarquable à travers ce papier	
4. The study methods are explained clearly.	Oui
Cet article utilise une méthode appropriée et celle-ci est claire	ement expliquée.
5. The results are clear and do not contain errors.	oui
Les résultats respectent les exigences théoriques de la méthod	ologie utilisée.
6. The conclusions or summary are accurate and supported by the content.	oui
La conclusion comporte les éléments essentiels rappel du suje méthode et les résultats.	t, de l'objectif, la
7. The references are comprehensive and appropriate.	oui
Les références renseignées dans ce papier reflètent les auteurs l'article.	s convoqués à traver

## **Overall Recommendation** (mark an X with your recommendation):

Accepted, no revision needed	X
Accepted, minor revision needed	
Return for major revision and resubmission	
Reject	

## **Comments and Suggestions to the Author(s):**

Les auteurs ont fait un effort de rédaction à travers le papier, je trouve qu'il est bien écrit.

### **Comments and Suggestions to the Editors Only:**

Cet article est original et les résultats sont intéressants, ce papier contribue au débat sur la contribution du développement financier à la croissance économique en intégrant les TIC, qui permettent de booster les effets du développement financier sur la croissance. Ce papier devrait donc être publié pour aider à éclairer le monde de la recherche sur la question.